TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: LA

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION	ON FOR FED	ERAL AS		2. DATE SUE 7/15/2005	BMITTED			APPLICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUB	BMISSION:			3. DATE REC	EIVED E	BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER		
Application		Pre-applica	ation					DUNS # 014740455		
Construction	1	Const	ruction	4 DATE REC	'EIVED E	BY FEDERAL AG	SENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER		
Non-Constru	uction	☑ Non-C	Construction	4. DATE NEC	CIVED	JI I EDENAL A	SLINGT	I EDERAL IDERTIFIER		
5. APPLICANT IN	NFORMATION		,				·			
Legal Name: LOUISIANA				Organizatio		HILD HEALTH				
Address (give city Office of Public P.O. Box 60630, New Orleans, LA County:	Health, Materna Room 612			Name and application Name: Joa	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving the application (give area code) Name: Joan Wightkin, DrPH, MPH Tel Number: (504) 568-5073					
6. EMPLOYER II	6 0 0	NUMBER 0 8	(EIN):	A. State B. County C. Municip D. Townsh E. Intersta F. Intermu	ole nip te niciple	CANT: (Enter ap H. Independent I. State Controlle J. Private Univer K. Indian Tribe L. Individual M. Profit Organia N. Other (Specif	School Districed Institution of sity	,		
8. TYPE OF APP		Revision		9 NAME O Health Res	F FEDER sources	RAL AGENCY: and Services A	dministratio	n, Maternal and Child Health Bureau		
If Revision, enter A. Increase Award B. Decrease Duration Oth	appropriate lette	er(s) in box(
10. CATALOG O NUMBER:	F FEDERAL DC	MESTIC AS	SSISTANCE			TITLE OF APPL I Health Service				
9 3 [TITLE: Maternal 12. AREAS AFFE states, etc.): Statewide										
13. PROPOSED	PROJECT:			14. CONGI	RESSION	NAL DISTRICTS	OF:			
Start Date: 10/01/2005		ding Date: 30/2006		a. Applican District 2			b. F	Project trict 1-7		
15. ESTIMATED	FUNDING:							VE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?		
b. Applicant	\$	203,523.00 371,477.00 280,126.00	ORDER 1237 DATE:	2 PROCESS	FOR RE			ILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
		646,724.00	☐ OR F	PROGRAM H	AS NOT	BEEN SELECT	ED BY STAT	E FOR REVIEW		
	\$6	0.00								
e. Other f. Program	<u></u>									
Income	\$9,3	378,069.00								
g. TOTAL	\$44,8	379,919.00	17. IS THE APPL Yes. If "Yes"				RAL DEBT			
DOCUMENT HAS	S BEEN DULY A	AUTHORIZE		ERNING BOD				ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE PLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE		
a. Typed Name o Sharon G. Howa		presentative	•		b. Title Assista	nt Secretary		c. Telephone Number (504) 568-5050		
d. Signature of A	uthorized Repre	sentative						e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

Fo	DRM 2									
MCH BUDGET DE		Y 2006								
[Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: LA										
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION										
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	14,203,523						
A.Preventive and primary care for children:										
\$ <u>4,988,450</u> (<u>35.12</u> %)										
B.Children with special health care needs:										
\$ 4,525,000 (31.86%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the a	pplication)[Sec. 505	(a)(3)]								
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	,, ,,									
\$ 1,420,352 (<u>10</u> %)										
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]										
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	371,477						
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	20,280,126						
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	646,724						
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	0						
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	9,378,069						
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 6,207,276			\$	30,304,919						
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT F (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	PARTNERSH	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	44,879,919						
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of t	he Title V program)									
a. SPRANS:	\$	0								
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000								
c. CISS:	\$	0								
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	0								
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0								
f. EMSC:	\$	0								
g. WIC:	\$	0								
h. AIDS:	\$	0								
i. CDC:	\$	0								
i. Education:	\$	6,935,492								
k. Other:	*	, , , -								
Early Childhood	\$	140,000								
PRAMS	\$	130,674								
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under	item 9)		\$	7,306,166						
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	52,186,085						

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main
Field Name: Education
Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Education
Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
EarlySteps

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$15,021,111	\$15,337,635	\$14,293,453	\$0	\$14,203,523	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$	\$	\$0	\$371,477	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$21,045,991	\$ 20,997,679	\$	\$0	\$20,280,126	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$847,800	\$ 825,352	\$	\$0	\$646,724	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$8,359,915	\$4,723,575	\$5,439,100	\$0	\$9,378,069	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$45,274,817	\$ 43,902,013	\$45,212,003	\$0	\$44,879,919	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$339,882	\$ 368,353	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$45,614,699	\$ 44,270,366	\$ 45,594,003	\$0	\$52,186,085	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$15,259,349	\$13,903,608	\$15,152,563	\$14,888,099	\$14,554,659	\$16,043,196
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$20,814,737	\$ 18,874,476	\$ 23,526,073	\$ 19,991,478	\$	\$ 20,069,453
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$1,526,389	\$1,016,470	\$870,384	\$653,243	\$1,016,470	\$ 745,605
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$5,790,298	\$9,212,462	\$5,211,040	\$9,218,461	\$5,841,369
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$45,530,475	\$ 39,584,852	\$ 48,761,482	\$40,743,860	\$ 44,983,693	\$ 42,699,623
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$514,214	\$424,406	\$ 452,962	\$440,010	\$325,000	\$ 298,363
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 46,044,689	\$ 40,009,258	\$ 49,214,444	\$41,183,870	\$ 45,308,693	\$42,997,986
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

On Form 3, FY 2004 budgeted figures for Unobligated Balance was zero and the expended amount was \$2,017,772. This was due to under-collection in the Program Income category. Program Income was projected to be much higher than was actually collected. This was due to delays in obtaining federal approval from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid for the expansion of the Nurse Family Partnership Program as a Medicaid covered service in 3 additional regions of the state. Approval was given in the following fiscal year and will be reflected in the FY 2005 expenditure report. This delay in obtaining approval and collections resulted in this amount of unobligated MCH funds expended during FY 2004.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

On Form 3, FY 2004 budgeted figures for Unobligated Balance was zero and the expended amount was \$2,017,772. This was due to under-collection in the Program Income category. Program Income was projected to be much higher than was actually collected. This was due to delays in obtaining federal approval from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid for the expansion of the Nurse Family Partnership Program as a Medicaid covered service in 3 additional regions of the state. Approval was given in the following fiscal year and will be reflected in the FY 2005 expenditure report. This delay in obtaining approval and collections resulted in this amount of unobligated MCH funds expended during FY 2004.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2004			FY 2	2005		FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Buc	GETED	Exp	PENDED	Βυ	DGETED	EXPENDED	Bu	IDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	6,330,128	\$	7,200,005	\$	8,021,448	\$0	\$	9,721,712	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	7,134,404	\$	7,360,829	\$	7,530,362	\$0	\$	6,759,716	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	17,972,045	\$	15,192,668	\$	14,992,863	\$0	\$	14,441,069	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	8,186,663	\$	8,205,492	\$	8,552,150	\$0	\$_	8,103,984	\$	
e. Others	\$	1,369,722	\$	2,150,608	\$	1,738,660	\$0	\$	1,758,712	\$	
f. Administration	\$	4,281,855	\$	3,792,411	\$	4,376,520	\$0	\$	4,094,726	\$	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	45,274,817	\$	43,902,013	\$	45,212,003	\$0	\$	44,879,919	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontro	l of the person re	espo	nsible for admini	strat	ion of the Title V	program).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000		\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	100,000			\$	50,000		\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	0		\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0		\$	6,935,492		
k.Other]										
Early Childhood	\$	0			\$	100,000		\$	140,000		
PRAMS	\$	139,882			\$	132,000		\$	130,674		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	339,882			\$	382,000		\$	7,306,166		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2001		FY 2002				FY 2003			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	But	OGETED	EXPENDED	Вι	JDGETED	Ex	PENDED	Βu	DGETED	Ex	PENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	6,655,261	\$ 5,763,730	\$	7,468,897	\$	5,845,172	\$	6,953,605	\$_	6,851,184	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	8,311,667	\$6,915,103	\$	7,693,081	\$	6,724,575	\$	7,977,525	\$	6,939,792	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	14,660,886	\$ 14,996,308	\$	16,699,719	\$	15,143,024	\$	17,432,701	\$	15,303,670	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	9,929,395	\$ 8,197,493	\$	10,886,489	\$_	7,856,826	\$	7,873,795	\$	7,734,435	
e. Others	\$	1,729,404	\$ 340,798	\$_	1,907,122	\$	1,369,722	\$	836,049	\$_	1,738,575	
f. Administration	\$	4,243,862	\$ 3,371,420	\$	4,106,174	\$	3,804,541	\$	3,910,018	\$	4,131,967	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	45,530,475	\$ 39,584,852	\$_	48,761,482	\$	40,743,860	\$	44,983,693	\$	42,699,623	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	ontro	ol of the person re	esponsible for admin	stra	tion of the Title V	prog	gram).					
a. SPRANS	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
b. SSDI	\$	100,000		\$	100,000			\$	100,000			
c. CISS	\$	70,000		\$	100,000			\$	100,000			
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
e. Healthy Start	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
f. EMSC	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
g. WIC	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
h. AIDS	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
i. CDC	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
j. Education	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0			
k.Other]											
PRAMS	\$	0		\$	0			\$	125,000			
RWJ Covering Kids	\$	344,214		\$_	252,962			\$	0			
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	514,214		\$	452,962			\$	325,000			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

On Form 4, FY 2004 budgeted amount for Pregnant Women was set too low compared to expenditures. Prenatal care and Nurse Family Partnership contracts that began in FY 2003 became fully operational in FY 2004, thus increasing the expended amount.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The FY 2004 budgeted amount for children 1 to 22 years was set too high, and the expended amount was consistent with prior fiscal years.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended

Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

The FY 2004 budgeted amount for the Other category was set too low compared to the expended amount, which is consistent with the amount budgeted for Family Planning services.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The FY 2004 expended amount for Administration was lower than the budgeted amount due to the overall expenditures being lower than the budgeted amount.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2	2006
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 23,278,874	\$19,665,558	\$ 20,424,822	\$0	\$ 20,103,603	\$0
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$11,035,870	\$13,890,196	\$14,443,621	\$0	\$14,199,597	\$0
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 8,190,104	\$8,421,180	\$8,050,068	\$0	\$8,608,760	\$0
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$2,769,969	\$1,925,079	\$\$2,293,492	\$0	\$1,967,959	\$0
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$45,274,817	\$43,902,013	\$45,212,003	\$0	\$44,879,919	\$0

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 29,594,809	\$ 21,319,772	\$ 24,868,356	\$ 20,925,070	\$\$	\$ 19,289,838	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$4,553,048	\$9,913,476	\$11,702,756	\$9,921,095	\$11,284,115	\$13,641,005	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$	\$ 6,718,004	\$ 8,289,452	\$ 7,370,465	\$ 7,634,249	\$ 7,602,735	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$3,642,438	\$1,633,600	\$3,900,918	\$2,527,230	\$1,794,488	\$2,166,045	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$45,530,475	\$39,584,852	\$48,761,482	\$40,743,860	\$44,983,693	\$42,699,623	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

On Form 5, in FY 2004, expenditures on Direct Services decreased while expenditures on Enabling Services increased. This was due to the increase in Nurse Family Partnership expenditures resulting from program expansion and the continuing decrease in direct maternity and child health services delivered by the Parish Health Units.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

On Form 5, in FY 2004, expenditures on Direct Services decreased while expenditures on Enabling Services increased. This was due to the increase in Nurse Family Partnership expenditures resulting from program expansion and the continuing decrease in direct maternity and child health services delivered by the Parish Health Units.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Please see form level note for explanation of discrepancy between budgeted and expended amounts for 2003.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended
Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Expenditures on Infrastructure Building services were less than the budgeted amount due to loss of the reporting mechanism that previously extracted Regional Office of Public Health Infrastructure expenditures from the Direct Services category.

	FORM 6													
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED														
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)														
STATE: LA														
	F5 240													
Total Births by Occurrence: 65,249 Reporting Year: 2004														
Type of Screening Tests (A) Receiving at least one Screen (1) Receiving at least one Screen (1) Presumptive Positive Positive														
	No. % Positive Screens Cases (2) No. %													
Phenylketonuria	65,249	100	44	1	1	100								
Congenital Hypothyroidism	65,249	65,249 100 567 20 20 100												
Galactosemia	65,249	100	129	2	2	100								
Sickle Cell Disease	65,249	100	97	97	90	92.8								
Other Screening	(Specify)													
Biotinidase Deficiency 65,249 100 70 1 1 100														
Screening Programs for Older Children & Women (Specify Tests by name)														
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.														

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total BIrths by Occurence is provisional. Data is based on the numbers of tests performed by the State and private laboratories for calendar year 2003 compared with 2003 births by occurrence.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of sickle cell confirmed cases and the number of presumptive positives are the same because of the state's use of a two- tiered testing system consisting of HPLC and isoeletric focusing allows for very accurate diagnosing of sickle disease phenotypes. All such patients are immediately referred into specialized care.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: LA

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE									
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %					
Pregnant Women	47,039	58.0			42.0						
Infants < 1 year old	65,302	50.6		40.7	8.7						
Children 1 to 22 years old	79,392	50.0	7.7		57.7						
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	5,363	86.0		3.1	10.5	0.4					
Others	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TOTAL	197,096										

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

Unduplicated count of Maternity patients for SFY 2004 based upon COMPASS patient encounter system. Due to encounter system's inability to decipher between pregnant women and non-pregnant women seeking pregnancy tests in the public health units, all women of reproductive age receiving pregnancy tests in the health units are reported under pregnant women.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_0_1_TS
Row Name: Infants <1 year of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Infants: FY 2004 estimate is the number of newborns receiving a newborn screen. The total number of infants who actually received child health services at a parish health unit was 42,055. Percents of the Primary Source of Coverage are derived from newborn screening lab form data. Estimates are based on source of payments.

3. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_XXI Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title XXI children cannot be separated out from Title XIX children, therefore all are reported together under Title XIX.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_0_1_Private Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Percents of the Primary Source of Coverage are derived from newborn screening lab form data. Estimates are based on source of payments.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_0_1_None Row Name: Infants <1 year of age

Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Percents of the Primary Source of Coverage are derived from newborn screening lab form data. Estimates are based on source of payments.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This year includes the count of individuals served from the Adolescent School Based Health initiative, in SFY 2003-04 = 26,294, plus individuals served in the parish health units, as reported from the Patient Encounter System via COGNOS.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_XIX
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Adolescent School Based Health shows 58% are paid for by Medicaid. Number includes LaCHIP because ASBH cannot separate LaCHIP and Medicaid recipients.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_XXI Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of LaCHIP recipients divided by the number of children served in Public Health Units plus children served in the Adolescent School Based Health Centers.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_Private Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Adolescent School Based Health shows 21% are paid for by private providers.

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_None Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Adolescent School Based Health shows 14% of their clients had no coverage.

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_Unknown Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Adolescent School Based Health shows that 7% of ASBH clients had an unknown source of coverage.

12. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Due to the record keeping system's inability to decipher between pregnant women and non-pregnant women seeking pregnancy tests in the public heatth units, all women of reproductive age receiving pregnancy tests in the health units are reported under pregnant women.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: LA

Reporting Year: 2003

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	65,302	37,671	26,014	407	1,094	12	104	0
Title V Served	43,896	21,659	20,517	59	281	0	0	1,380
Eligible for Title XIX	37,970	16,400	20,863	252	400	3	52	0
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	64,689	37,066	26,024	401	1,084	12	102	0
Title V Served	65,302	37,671	26,014	407	1,094	12	104	0
Eligible for Title XIX	33,285	11,148	20,379	126	118	13	36	1,465

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

Title V Served					HISPA	HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)						
Total Deliveries 63,522		Total NOT Hispanic	Total Hispanic or	Ethnicity Not				Central and South	Other and			
In State	DELIVERIES											
Eligible for Title XIX 37,236 718 16 284 43 39 51 301 INFANTS Total Infants in State 62,974 1,661 54 667 91 84 158 661 Title V Served 63,522 1,705 75 689 97 86 163 670 Eligible for Title 33,055 230 230 230		63,522	1,705	75	689	97	86	163	670			
NFANTS State Sta	Title V Served	43,109	787						787			
Total Infants in State 62,974 1,661 54 667 91 84 158 661 Title V Served 63,522 1,705 75 689 97 86 163 670 Eligible for Title 33,055 230 230 230	Eligible for Title XIX	37,236	718	16	284	43	39	51	301			
State 62,914 1,061 34 607 91 64 158 661 Title V Served 63,522 1,705 75 689 97 86 163 670 Eligible for Title 33,055 230 230 230 230	INFANTS											
Eligible for Title 33 055 230 230		62,974	1,661	54	667	91	84	158	661			
	Title V Served	63,522	1,705	75	689	97	86	163	670			
	Eligible for Title XIX	33,055	230						230			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Linked Medicaid and Vital Records BIrth Files.

2. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_More Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: More Than One Race Reported

Year: 2006 Field Note:

3. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The reason that the number Infants Served is higher than the number of infants in the state is because total deliveries in the State is by occurrence and therefore, includes deliveries of both Louisiana residents and nonresidents. Total infants in the State is by residence. Infants served by the Title V Program represent the number of infants receiving newborn screening services and therefore the number of infants served by Title V is greater than the number of infants in the State. The racial breakdown of infants served is the same as the breakdown for all live births in the State. Source: Louisiana Vital Statistics, Total Deliveries in State (Births by Occurence-2003)

4. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Medicaid Undupliced Count of Eligibles by Race ffy 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004)

5. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_More Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: More Than One Race Reported

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Includes Hispanic or Latino and one or more races AND More than one race (Hispanic or Latino not indicated)

6. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_RaceOther Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND Invalid Race Code AND Unknown.

7. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

For SFY 2003, from COMPASS encounter database, patient count for prenatal care. Further breakdown by ethnicity not available.

 Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: Deliveries TitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Linked Medicaid and Vital Records BIrth Files.

9. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino Year: 2006 Field Note:

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_EthnicityOther Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Other and Unknown Year: 2006

Field Note:

Further breakdown by Hispanic or Latino ethnicity is not available.

11. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

For SFY 2003, from COMPASS epatient ncounter system.

12. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity **Field Name:** InfantsTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Medicaid Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). No additional breakdown of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity is available.

13. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Medicaid Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). Includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND

Hispanic or Latino and one or more races.

14. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_EthnicityOther Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Other and Unknown Year: 2006

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Further breakdown by Hispanic or Latino ethnicity is not available.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: LA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 251-BABY				
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Partners for Healthy Babies				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Karis Schoellmann	Karis Schoellman	Karis Schoellman	Karis Schoellman	Karis Schoellman
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(504) 568-5073	(504) 568-5073	(504) 568-5073	(504) 568-5073	(504) 568-5073
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		4,092	4,188	4,028

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: LA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)]

[SEC. 506(A)(1)] STATE: LA

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

Louisiana's Title V program is administered by the State Sections of Maternal and Child Health and Children's Special Health Services. These programs are housed within the Office of Public Health's Center for Preventive Health. The Office of Public Health is one of the five major agencies within the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals. The MCH program serves a large portion of the State's large medically indigent MCH population, providing personal health services and local public health functions at parish health units distributed throughout the State. In addition to direct service provision, MCH is involved in enabling, population-based, and infrastructure building services

Block	Grant	<u>Funds</u>

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 14,203,523
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 371,477
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 20,280,126
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 646,724
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 9,378,069
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 44,879,919

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

Parish Health Units, Louisiana State University
Health Sciences Center, Primary Care Centers,
New Orleans Health Dept., Tulane Univ. Health
Sciences Center, Children's Hospital-New Orleans

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

o. marriadais served by the Title VT Togram (coi. 71, 1 om 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	47,039
b. Infants < 1 year old	65,302
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	79,392
d. CSHCN	5,363
e. Others	0

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Parish Health Units: MCH provides prenatal care services and comprehensive preventive child health services at 70 parish health units and contract agency sites statewide. School-Based Health Centers: MCH's Adolescent School Health Initiative funds and provides technical assistance to school-based health centers at 53 locations statewide. Home Visitation: MCH operates a nurse home visitation program for first-time mothers, entitled Nurse Family Partnership (NFP) in all NFP regions of the state. Children with Special Health Care Needs: CSHS provides direct medical evaluation and treatment services for chronic and disabling medical conditions in children. Parent support and care coordination services are also provided by CSHS.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Program: MCH operates a risk reduction campaign, and provides public and professional education, grief counseling and autopsy and death scene investigation. Partners For Healthy Babies: MCH operates a statewide multimedia campaign which utilizes a toll free hotline to link callers with prenatal care, and educates about healthy behaviors during pregnancy. Newborn Screening Programs: The Genetics and Newborn Screening Program assures the screening of all newborns in the State. The Hearing, Speech, and Vision Program coordinates newborn hearing screening statewide. Injury Prevention: MCH funds a state SafeKids Program and regional injury prevention coordinators to inform the public of injury prevention approaches.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

MCH Epidemiology Program: MCH operates the Pregnancy Risk Assessment and Monitoring System (PRAMS). Birth and infant death data are analyzed and includes linkages with Medicaid and Newborn Screening programs. Medical Home Project: The CSHS program has initiated a medical home project to increase primary care access to CSHCN. Birth Defects Registry: CSHS has taken leadership in developing a birth defects registry for Louisiana. Legislation was passed in May 2001, creating a Birth Defects Surveillance System. MCH has initiated an infant mortality reduction initiative effort in each region with public and private partner agencies.

12.	The	primary	Title	٧	Program	contact	person:
-----	-----	---------	-------	---	---------	---------	---------

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Joan Wightkin, DrPH, MPH	Name	Linda Pippins, MCD
Title	MCH Administrator	Title	CSHS Administrator
Address	Office of Public Health, 235 Loyola Avenue, Room 612	Address	Office of Public Health, 235 Loyola Avenue, Room 612
City	New Orleans	City	New Orleans
State	LA	State	LA

Zip	70112	Zip	70112
Phone	(504) 568-5073	Phone	(504) 568-5055
Fax	(504) 568-8162	Fax	(504) 568-7529
Email	jwightki@dhh.la.gov	Email	lpippins@dhh.la.gov
Web	www.oph.dhh.state.la.us	Web	www.oph.dhh.state.la.us

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: LA

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

appropriate follow up as defined by	aron otato.	Annual O	bjective and Perform	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			95	95	95
Annual Indicator			93.6	95.2	94.2
Numerator			102	120	114
Denominator			109	126	121
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual O	bjective and Perfor	nance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	95	96	97	97	97
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futu	e Objectives for the al re year data.	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and <i>i</i>	Annual Indicators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Data		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		_			60		60
Annual Indicator		_		55.2	55.2		55.2
Numerator							
Denominator		_					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provisional	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Data		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	6	0	60	62	62		62

Annual Indicator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compre	hensive care within a r	medical home. (CSH0	CN Survey)
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				55	50
Annual Indicator			48.8	48.8	48.8
Numerator					-
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	50	50	55	55	55
Annual Indicator					
			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Numerator	not required for fut		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
	not required for fut		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data.	,		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	,		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	,	pay for the services t	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut	ure year data.	or public insurance to	pay for the services t	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fut ose families have a	ure year data. dequate private and	or public insurance to	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	not required for fut ose families have a 2000	ure year data. dequate private and, Annual 2001	or public insurance to	pay for the services t mance Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	ure year data. dequate private and, Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002 51.9	pay for the services t mance Data 2003 55	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut	ure year data. dequate private and, Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002 51.9	pay for the services t mance Data 2003 55	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have a	ure year data. dequate private and, Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002 51.9	pay for the services t mance Data 2003 55	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002 51.9	pay for the services to mance Data 2003 55.9 Provisional	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57 51.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and Annual 2001	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002 51.9	pay for the services to mance Data 2003 55.9 Provisional	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57 51.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	dequate private and Annual 2001 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 Objective and Perfor 2002 Objective and Perfor 2007	pay for the services to mance Data 2003 55. 51.9 Provisional mance Data	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57 51.9 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future ose families have at 2000	Annual 2006 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 State of the stat	pay for the services to mance Data 2003 55 51.9 Provisional mance Data 2008 57	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57 51.9 Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 See families have an accordance of the seed of the see	Annual 2001 Annual 2006 55 The Objectives for the	Objective and Perfor 2002 Objective and Perfor 2002 Objective and Perfor 2007	pay for the services to mance Data 2003 55 51.9 Provisional mance Data 2008 57	hey need. (CSHCN 2004 57 51.9 Provisional 2009 57

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the o	ommunity-based ser	vice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSHC
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				70	70
Annual Indicator			68.8	68.8	68.8
Numerator	•				
Denominator	·				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
				_	
	2005	<u>Annual (</u> 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		2006 70	2007 75	2006 75	2009 75
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only th		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
Denominator	not required for futt	ıre year data.			
	l 4l		4		
	I the services necess	•	•	•	ey)
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	• •
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	2000	Annual (•	•	2004
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	<u>mance Data</u> 2003	2004
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	<u>mance Data</u> 2003	2004
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	<u>mance Data</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	<u>mance Data</u> 2003	2004
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	mance Data 2003 5.8 Provisional	2004 10 5.8
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 5.8 Provisional	2004 10 5.8
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Objective and Perfor	provisional	2004 10 5.8 Provisional
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual (2001) Annual (2006)	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Objective and Perfor 2007 10	Provisional mance Data 2003 5.8 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004 10 5.8 Provisional 2009
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 2005	Annual de 2001 Annual de 2006 6 Cobjectives for the de 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Objective and Perfor 2007	Provisional mance Data 2003 5.8 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004

rcent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago emophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.						_			
		0004	Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor		<u>ta</u>	0004	
Annual Barfarman of Chinativa	2000	2001	90	2002	80	2003	70	2004	7:
Annual Performance Objective									
Annual Indicator	71.8		64.1		61.9		69.9		72
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
						_			
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and 2007	d Perfor	mance Da 2008	<u>ta</u>	2009	
				2007		2000		2009	
Annual Performance Objective			80		86		88		ç
Annual Performance Objective	74		80		86		88		ę
Annual Indicator	74			above years.		or, Denom		Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years.		or, Denom		Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years.		or, Denom		Annual Inc	gdicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years.		or, Denom		Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years.		or, Denom		Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut		ves for the a ata.	above years.	Numerat		inator and	Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut		ves for the a ata.		Numerat		inator and	Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a ata.	Objective and	Numerat	mance Da	inator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	Objective and	Numerat	mance Da	inator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 40.4 35.5	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a ata. Annual C	Objective and	Numerat d Perfor	mance Da	ta 32		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 40.4 35.5 3,790	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C 39.8 32.0	Objective and 2002	Numerat	mance Da 2003	ta 32 29.3		3 29 2,98
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	74 Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 40.4 35.5 3,790 106,879	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C 39.8 32.0 3,402	Objective and 2002	35 31.2 3,238	mance Da 2003	32 29.3 2,984		3 29 2,98 101,97
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	74 Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 40.4 35.5 3,790 106,879	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C 39.8 32.0 3,402	Objective and 2002	35 31.2 3,238	mance Da 2003	32 29.3 2,984	2004	3 29 2,98 101,97
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	74 Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 40.4 35.5 3,790 106,879	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C 39.8 32.0 3,402 106,293	Objective and 2002	35 31.2 3,238 03,937	mance Da 2003 Final	32 29.3 2,984 101,974	2004	3 29 2,98 101,97
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	74 Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 40.4 35.5 3,790 106,879	ne Objectiv ure year da	Annual C 39.8 32.0 3,402 106,293	Objective and 2002	35 31.2 3,238 03,937	mance Da 2003 Final	32 29.3 2,984 101,974	2004	29 2,98

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09									
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one peri	manent mo	olar tooth.						
	2220	2004	Annual (Objective and	Perfor		<u>a</u>	2004	
Amusal Berfermanae Ohiostiva	2000	2001	33	2002	35	2003	20	2004	20
Annual Performance Objective									
Annual Indicator			22.8		18.0		18.0		18.0
	317		317		157		157		15
	1,390		1,390		871		871		87
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual (Shisotivo and	Porfor	manca Dat			
	2005	2006	Alliuai	Objective and 2007	Perior	2008	<u>:a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	20		20		20		22		2
Annual Indicator									
	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. N	umerat	or. Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years. N	umerat	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	licators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv cure year da	ves for the a	above years. N	umerat	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years. N	umerat	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ata.		umerat	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ata. 0,000 childre	en.				Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100	ata. 0,000 childre	en. Objective and		mance Dat			licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by recommendations and the second s	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100	ata. 0,000 childre Annual (en.	Perfor		<u>a</u>	Annual Ind	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100	ata. 0,000 childre Annual C	en. Objective and	Perfor	mance Dat	a 6.9		6.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.8	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (en. Objective and	Perfor 7 6.7	mance Dat	6.9 4.6		6.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6 6.8 68	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71	en. Objective and 2002	Perfor 7 6.7 65	mance Dat 2003	6.9 4.6 45		6. 4.
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash and the second	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71	en. Objective and 2002	Perfor 7 6.7	mance Dat	6.9 4.6	2004	6. 4. 4 976,74
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash and the second	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71	en. Objective and 2002	Perfor 7 6.7 65	mance Dat 2003	6.9 4.6 45		6. 4. 4 976,74
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash and the second	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71 987,585	en. Dipjective and 2002 970	7 6.7 65 6,741	mance Date 2003	6.9 4.6 45 973,127	2004	6. 4. 4 976,74
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash and the second	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71 987,585	en. Objective and 2002	7 6.7 65 6,741	mance Date 2003	6.9 4.6 45 973,127	2004	6. 4. 4 976,74
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6 6.8 68 1,002,084	es per 100 2001	0,000 childre Annual (6 7.2 71 987,585	en. Display and 2002 970 Display and 2002	7 6.7 65 6,741	mance Date 2003 Final	6.9 4.6 45 973,127	2004 Provision	6. 4. 4. 976,74
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crashed and the second seco	es per 100 2001	0,000 childre Annual C 71 987,585 Annual C	en. Display and 2002 970 Display and 2007	Perfor: 7 6.7 65 6,741 Perfor: 6.5	Final mance Date 2008	6.9 4.6 45 973,127	2004 Provision	6 4 2 976,74 nal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	es per 100 2001 2006	0,000 childre Annual (7.2 71 987,585 Annual (6.6	en. Display and 2002 970 Display and 2007	Perfor: 7 6.7 65 6,741 Perfor: 6.5	Final mance Date 2008	6.9 4.6 45 973,127	2004 Provision	6 4 2 976,74 nal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			ual Objective and Perfo		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			43 45		47
Annual Indicator			3.9 43.9		43.8
Numerator		27,8			27,63
	66,175	63,4	63,482		63,112
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Ann	ual Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	48.3		50 51.6	53.4	55.
Annual Indicator		· 			
	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for	the above years. Numer	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Numer	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	the above years. Numer		I Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before h	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	· 		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before hearing befo	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not require	ure year data. Ann	ual Objective and Perfo	ormance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before h	Please fill in only th not required for future hospital discharge. 2000	ure year data. Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perfo	ormance Data 2003 85	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before had a compared to the compared to th	Please fill in only th not required for future hospital discharge. 2000	ure year data. Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4	2003 85 92.6	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before had a compared to the compared to th	hospital discharge. 2000 60 55.6 37,970	Ann 2001 7	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4	2003 85 92.6 59,230	2004 95. 60,96
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before had a compared to the compared to th	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 60 55.6 37,970 68,273	Ann 2001 7	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865	2003 85 92.6 59,230	2004 95. 60,96
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before hearing befor	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 60 55.6 37,970 68,273	Ann 2001 7 39,1	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865 111 60,494	92.6 59,230 63,965 Final	2004 9 95. 60,96 63,99
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before have been screened for hearing b	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 60 55.6 37,970 68,273	Ann 2001 7 39,1	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865	92.6 59,230 63,965 Final	2004 9 95. 60,96 63,99
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before have been screened for hearing b	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 60 55.6 37,970 68,273	Ann 2001 7 39,	ual Objective and Performance 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865 611 60,494 ual Objective and Performance	92.6 59,230 63,965 Final	2004 95. 60,96 63,99 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before have annual Indicator. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator.	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Ann 2001 7 39,7 55,6 Ann 2006	ual Objective and Performance 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865 611 60,494 ual Objective and Performance 2007 98 100	92.6 59,230 63,965 Final prmance Data 2008	2004 95. 60,96 63,99 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before have annual Indicator. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator.	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Ann 2001 7 39, 55,6 Ann 2006	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 65 70 0.3 82.4 04 49,865 511 60,494 ual Objective and Perfo 2007	92.6 59,230 63,965 Final prmance Data 2008	2004 95 60,96 63,98 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Percent of children without health insurance.					
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	24.5	15	15	14	1
Annual Indicator	16.4	13.3	12.4	15.4	15.
Numerator	197,589	174,478	152,790	194,293	194,29
Denominator	1,201,454	1,310,692	1,234,917	1,263,241	1,263,24
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	13	12	11	10	
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program.			Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual C 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual C 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 75	mance Data 2003 75	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	service paid by the I	Medicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7	2002 75 78.0	mance Data 2003 75 85.8	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures for f	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823	75 78.0 547,454	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784	2004 85 617,78
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 75 73.9 430,073 582,192	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823	2002 75 78.0	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784 719,640	2004 85 617,78 719,62
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 75 73.9 430,073 582,192	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823	75 78.0 547,454	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784	2004 85. 617,78
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 75 73.9 430,073 582,192	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823 680,244	75 78.0 547,454	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784 719,640 Final	2004 85. 617,78 719,64
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures and the service paid by the I 2000 75 73.9 430,073 582,192 2005	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823 680,244 Annual C 2006	75 78.0 547,454 701,643	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784 719,640 Final	2004 85. 617,78 719,64
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	75 73.9 430,073 582,192	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823 680,244	75 78.0 547,454 701,643	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784 719,640 Final	2004 85. 617,78 719,64 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 75 73.9 430,073 582,192 2005	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 75 70.7 480,823 680,244 Annual C 2006	75 78.0 547,454 701,643 20bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 75 85.8 617,784 719,640 Final mance Data 2008	2004 85 617,78 719,64 Provisional

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
ne percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
			al Objective and Perfo		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			.8 1.8	1.8	2.
	2.4		2.1	2.2	2
Numerator	1,614	1,5	08 1,328	1,400	1,40
Denominator	67,843	65,1	64,743	64,677	64,67
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annı	ıal Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	2.2		2.2	2.2	2
	D. (11)	011 11 1			
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			I Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annı	ial Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured fill for futured	ure year data.	ial Objective and Perfo 2002		2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured fill for futured	Annı 2001	ial Objective and Perfo 2002	ermance Data 2003	2004 7
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 11.03 8.2	Annı 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 75 8	rmance Data 2003 7.8	2004 7 9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annı 2001 10.	12	7.8 9.2	2004 7 9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	9h 19. 2000 11.03 8.2 30 365,945	Annı 2001 10.	12	7.8 9.2	2004 7 9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	9h 19. 2000 11.03 8.2 30 365,945	Annu 2001 10.	10 Objective and Performance 2002 75 8 1.2 7.6 33 27 33 353,339	7.8 2003 7.8 9.2 32 347,200 Final	2004 7 9 3 347,20
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annu 2001 10. 359,0	12 Objective and Perform 2002 75 8 1.2 7.6 1.33 27 1.33 353,339 1.41 Objective and Perform	7.8 2003 7.8 9.2 32 347,200 Final	2004 7 9 3 347,20 Provisional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anni 2001 10. 359,0 Anni 2006	10 Objective and Performance 2002 75 8 1.2 7.6 33 27 33 353,339	7.8 2003 7.8 9.2 32 347,200 Final	2004 7 9 3 347,20 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future of the not req	Annu 2001 10. 359,0	12 Objective and Perform 2002 75 8 8 1.2 7.6 33 27 33 353,339 341 Objective and Perform 2007 3.6 8.4	7.8 2003 7.8 9.2 32 347,200 Final rmance Data 2008 8.2	2004 7.99 3.33 347,20 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future of the not req	Anni 2001 10. 359,0 Anni 2006	1al Objective and Perfo 2002 75 8 1.2 7.6 1.33 27 1.33 353,339 1.41 Objective and Perfo 2007	7.8 2003 7.8 9.2 32 347,200 Final rmance Data 2008 8.2	2004 7 9 347,2 Provisional 2009

cent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Da 2003	<u>ıta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		2001	89	85	2003	85.1	2004	85.2
	83.3		82.4	84.3		84.4		84.4
Numerator			1,243	1,099		1,162		1,162
Denominator			1,508	1,303		1,376		1,376
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			1,000	.,502	Final	1,0.0	Provision	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2005	2006	04.0	2007	2008	0.5	2009	0.5
Annual Performance Objective			84.8	84.9		85		85.
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numeral	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	ata.	above years. Numerat			Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for futive eginning in the first tr	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002		ı <u>ta</u>	Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 83.6	mance Da	t a 83.6		84.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	eginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C 85 83.2	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 83.6 83.8	mance Da	83.6 84.1		84. 84.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	eginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C 85 83.2 54,037	2002 83.6 83.8 54,204	mance Da	83.6 84.1 54,305		84. 84. 54,30
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future eginning in the first tree 2000 84 83.3 56,295 67,549	imester.	Annual C 85 83.2	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 83.6 83.8	mance Da 2003	83.6 84.1	2004	84. 84. 54,30 64,54
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future eginning in the first tree 2000 84 83.3 56,295 67,549	imester.	Annual C 85 83.2 54,037	2002 83.6 83.8 54,204	mance Da	83.6 84.1 54,305		84. 84. 54,30 64,54
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future eginning in the first tree 2000 84 83.3 56,295 67,549	imester.	85 83.2 54,037 64,913	2002 83.6 83.8 54,204	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	83.6 84.1 54,305 64,545	2004	84. 84. 54,30 64,54
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future eginning in the first tree 2000 84 83.3 56,295 67,549	imester.	85 83.2 54,037 64,913	2002 83.6 83.8 54,204 64,658	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	83.6 84.1 54,305 64,545	2004	84. 84. 54,30 64,54

STATE PERFORMANCE ME						
Percent of all children and ad-	lolescents enrolled in public schools in Lo	uisiana that have a				
		2000	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
	Annual Performance Objective		6.1		6.9	2004
					6.9	7.0
		5.2			48,494	49.464
		39,329		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-, -
		756,579	741,553	730,252	706,119	704,129
	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
			Annual	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	Annual Performance Objective	6.9	7.1	7.3	7.5	7.7
	Annual Indicator					
		Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
		Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE DEPENDANCE ME	Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numeral	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numerat		Annual Indicators
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receive	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receive	not required for futured for futured such services.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receive	red such services. 2000 22.0	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7	mance Data 2003 23	2004 23.9
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0 62,798	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429	2003 23.8	2004 23.5 25. 77,226
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0 62,798	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429	2003 23 23.8 74,885	2004
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0 62,798	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429	2003 23 23.8 74,885 314,000	2004 23.9 25.0 77,220 304,270
STATE PERFORMANCE ME Percent of women in need of	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0 62,798 314,000	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054 314,000	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429 314,000 Objective and Perfor	2003 238 23.8 74,885 314,000 Final	2004 23.5 25.6 77,228 304,270 Final
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 22.0 20.0 62,798 314,000	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054 314,000 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429 314,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	2303 238 23.8 74,885 314,000 Final	2004 23.4 25.4 77,226 304,270 Final
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 22.0 20.0 62,798 314,000	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054 314,000	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429 314,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 238 23.8 74,885 314,000 Final	2004 23. 25. 77,22 304,27 Final
	Numerator Denominator EASURE # 2 family planning services who have receiv Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	red such services. 2000 22.0 20.0 62,798 314,000 2005	Annual 2001 22.0 21.7 68,054 314,000 Annual 2006 24.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.0 22.7 71,429 314,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	2303 23.8 74,885 314,000 Final mance Data 2008 25.5	2004 23. 25. 77,22 304,27 Final

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3					
ate of children (per 1,000) under 18 who have been abused or negle	cted.				
	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		9.9	8.3	8.2	7.
	8.3	8.4	8.3	8.8	7.
	10,139	10,270	10,081	10,744	9.56
				,	-,
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1,220,031	1,220,031	1,220,031	1,224,027 Final	1,224,02 Provisional
IS the Data Provisional of Final?				Filiai	Piovisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	om a nurse, social wo	ure year data. orker, or nutritionist. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro	om a nurse, social we	ure year data. orker, or nutritionist. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro	om a nurse, social we 2000 20.0 37.6	orker, or nutritionist. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 45	mance Data 2003 52	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	om a nurse, social work 2000 20.0 37.6 6,906	orker, or nutritionist. Annual 6 2001 40	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0	mance Data 2003 52 53.2	2004 51 2,76
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	orker, or nutritionist. Annual 6 2001 40 40.0 7,983	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0 2,776	mance Data 2003 52 53.2 3,041	2004 51 2,76
ETATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	orker, or nutritionist. Annual 6 2001 40 40.0 7,983	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0 2,776	52 53.2 3,041 5,711	2004 51. 2,76 5,36
ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	om a nurse, social we 2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	vire year data. orker, or nutritionist. Annual (2001 40 40.0 7,983 19,957	Objective and Perfor 2002	52 53.2 3,041 5,711 Final	2004 51 2,76 5,36 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	vire year data. orker, or nutritionist. Annual 6 2001 40 40.0 7,983 19,957 Annual 6 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0 2,776 5,781 Objective and Perfor 2007	52 53.2 3,041 5,711 Final Timance Data 2008	2004 51 2,76 5,36 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final?	2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	vire year data. orker, or nutritionist. Annual (2001 40 40.0 7,983 19,957	Objective and Perfor 2002	52 53.2 3,041 5,711 Final	2004 51 2,76 5,36 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator	2000 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368	2001 40 40.0 7,983 19,957 Annual (2006)	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0 2,776 5,781 Objective and Perfor 2007	52 53.2 3,041 5,711 Final mance Data 2008 67	2004 51 2,70 5,30 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) fro Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 20.0 20.0 37.6 6,906 18,368 2005 Please fill in only th not required for future of the control of t	2001 40 40.0 7,983 19,957 Annual (2006)	Objective and Perfor 2002 45 48.0 2,776 5,781 Objective and Perfor 2007	52 53.2 3,041 5,711 Final mance Data 2008 67	2004 5,3 5,3 Provisional 2009

Denominator

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5					
ercent of children (2 - 5 years old) on WIC greater than or equal to the	ne 95th percentile fo	ū			
	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		9.0	9.0	13.4	13.
			13.5	13.3	14.
	11.2				
	3,334			5,847	6,90
	29,762	39,468	42,767	44,036	49,30
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	13.2	13.1	13	12.9	12
Annual Indicator					-
	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	uro voor data	aboro youror riamora	tor, Dorrormilator and	, ii ii i dai i i i di dato i d
Denominator	not required for fatt	ure year data.			
2010111111101					
P					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6					
	ther during their las	t pregnancy or in the	12 months prior to be	coming pregnant.	
	Ü	Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	10.
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	10.
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3	mance Data 2003	10
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 8.0 10.1	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003 10.9	10 11 7,06
rcent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse ei Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2 7,037	Objective and Perfor 2002	10.9 11.3 7,061	10. 11. 7,06
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2 7,037	Objective and Perfor 2002	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227	10. 11. 7,06 62,22
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3	Annual 6 8 11.2 7,037 62,954 Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3 7,061 62,227 Objective and Perfor	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227 Provisional	10. 11. 7,06 62,22 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2 7,037 62,954 Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3 7,061 62,227 Objective and Perfor 2007	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227 Provisional	10. 11. 7,06 62,22 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3 2005	Annual 6 8 11.2 7,037 62,954 Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3 7,061 62,227 Objective and Perfor	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227 Provisional	10. 11. 7,06 62,22 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3 2005 10.7	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2 7,037 62,954 Annual 6 2006 10.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3 7,061 62,227 Objective and Perfor 2007 10.7	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227 Provisional mance Data 2008 10.6	10 11 7,06 62,22 Provisional 2009
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 8.0 10.1 6,614 65,486.3 2005 10.7 Please fill in only thot required for futh	Annual 6 2001 8 11.2 7,037 62,954 Annual 6 2006 10.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 11.3 7,061 62,227 Objective and Perfor 2007	10.9 11.3 7,061 62,227 Provisional mance Data 2008 10.6	10 11 7,00 62,22 Provisional

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7								
Percent of women who use substances (alcohol and tobacco) during	pregnancy.							
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Da 2003	<u>ita</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objectiv		200 i	12.0	14.5	2003	14	2004	13.
	r 16.1		13.4	12.8		12.8		12.
	9,824		7,690	7,655		7,655		7.65
			57,236	60,026		60.026		60,02
Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final			31,230	00,020	Provisio		Provisio	
is the Data Filtrisional of Final	r				I IUVISIO	ilai	1 1001310	Jilai
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	_	2009	
Annual Performance Objectiv	e <u>13</u>		12.5	12		11.5		1
Annual Indicate				above years. Numera				
Denominato	r 	ure year d	iaia.					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or .			omiologic issues				
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or .		ta and epide	J	rmance Da	ata .		
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or .		ta and epide	emiologic issues. Dijective and Perfore 2002	rmance Da 2003	ata	2004	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	and working on MCH	/CSHS da	ta and epide	Objective and Perfor		1ta 95	2004	9
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a	2000 e53.0	/CSHS da	ta and epide	Objective and Perfor 2002			2004	9
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled and an an an analysis of the second	2000 e53.0	/CSHS da	ta and epide Annual C	2002 90.0		95	2004	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4	/CSHS da	53.0 89.5	2002 90.0 95.0		95 95.0	2004	100.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19	/CSHS da	53.0 89.5	90.0 95.0		95 95.0 19	2004 Final	100.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19	/CSHS da	53.0 89.5	90.0 95.0	2003	95 95.0 19		100.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19	/CSHS da	ta and epide Annual C 53.0 89.5 17 19	2002 90.0 95.0 19 20 20 Dbjective and Performance and Pe	2003 Final	95.0 95.0 19 20	Final	100.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate Is the Data Provisional or Final	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19 ?	/CSHS da	ta and epide Annual C 53.0 89.5 17 19	2002 90.0 95.0 19 20 20 20 20 95.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2003 Final	95 95.0 19 20		100.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled a Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate Is the Data Provisional or Final	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19 2005 e 95	/CSHS da	ta and epide Annual C 53.0 89.5 17 19	2002 90.0 95.0 19 20 20 Dbjective and Performance and Pe	2003 Final	95.0 95.0 19 20	Final	100
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled and Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Denominate Is the Data Provisional or Final Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Is the Data Provisional or Final Annual Indicate	2000 e 53.0 or 68.4 or 13 or 19 ?	/CSHS da 2001	53.0 89.5 17 19	2002 90.0 95.0 19 20 20 20 20 95.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Final rmance Da 2008	95 95.0 19 20	Final 2009	1000

Denominator

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10					
ercent of licensed day care centers with a health consultant contact.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		35.0	25.0	37	5
Annual Indicator	27.7	19.0	36.1	52.2	31.0
Numerator	517	398	707	1,039	67
Denominator	1,869	2,100	1,957	1,989	2,17
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	35	37	39	41	4
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera Objective and Perfor 2002		Annual Indicators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for fut	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 te of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 te of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 0.9	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 Ite of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1 1.0 68	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003 0.9	2004 0. 1.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 te of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 1 1.0 68 67,029	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003 0.9 1.1	2004 0. 1.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1 1.0 68 67,029	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52 67,843	Objective and Perfor 2002 11.06664,755	2003 0.9 1.1 70 64,689 Final	2004 0. 1. 7 64,68
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 Ite of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1 1.0 68 67,029	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52 67,843	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003 0.9 1.1 70 64,689 Final	2004 0. 1. 7 64,68
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 Ite of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1 1.0 68 67,029	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52 67,843	Objective and Perfor 2002 1 1.0 66 64,755 Objective and Perfor 2007	70 64,689 Final	2004 0. 1. 7 64,68 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1 1.0 68 67,029	Annual 2001 1 0.8 52 67,843 Annual 2006 1.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 1 1.0 66 64,755 Objective and Perfor 2007	70 64,689 Final 70 6208	2004 0. 1. 7 64,68 Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Without the match of newborn screening result data with birth records being conducted on a routine basis, numerators and annual indicators can only be an estimate. However, given the fact that all three labs started testing for the additional diseases on or before the diseases were added to the rule, the 95% level seems to be a reasonable estimate. In August of 2003, a rule was passed that specified required data fields to make matching more accurate. Routine matching is anticipated to be accomplished by summer of 2004. Data is for calendar year.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

Without the match of newborn screening result data with birth records being conducted on a routine basis, numerators and annual indicators can only be an estimate. However, given the fact that all three labs started testing for the additional diseases on or before the diseases were added to the rule, the 95% level seems to be a reasonable estimate. It was hoped that the amendment to the newborn screening rule in 2003 that specified the essential data fields for the surveillance reporting would improve the accuracy of the matching. However, for some laboractories, data fields are not being collected or appear on the lab specimen form, which has represented a significant barrier in recieving complete data. Another amendment has been submitted in June 2005 to further strengthen the surveillance reporting requirement. This time social security number of the infant's mother is a required data field. Routine matching is anticipated to be accomplished by spring of 2006.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is from the National Immunization Survey (NIS). Only total percentages are provided in the NIS tables - numerator and denominator data is not included.

The National Immunization Survey (NIS) has a sample size of about 30,000 children. However, the sample is not the entire population, and thus sample estimates and population values are likely to be different. The magnitude of the likely difference is quantified through the 95% confidence interval. For example, in 1998, the vaccination coverage estimate for 3 doses of poliovirus vaccine in Alabama was 91.4% +- 3.2%. This means that the true coverage was probably between 88.2% and 94.6%. When comparing two estimates (e.g., between states or between years), an overlap in the confidence intervals indicates that the observed difference might be due to chance.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is from the National Immunization Survey (NIS). Only total percentages are provided in the NIS tables - numerator and denominator data is not included.

The National Immunization Survey (NIS) has a sample size of about 30,000 children. However, the sample is not the entire population, and thus sample estimates and population values are likely to be different. The magnitude of the likely difference is quantified through the 95% confidence interval. For example, in 1998, the vaccination coverage estimate for 3 doses of poliovirus vaccine in Alabama was 91.4% +- 3.2%. This means that the true coverage was probably between 88.2% and 94.6%. When comparing two estimates (e.g., between states or between years), an overlap in the confidence intervals indicates that the observed difference might be due to chance.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is from the National Immunization Survey (NIS). Only total percentages are provided in the NIS tables - numerator and denominator data is not included.

The National Immunization Survey (NIS) has a sample size of about 30,000 children. However, the sample is not the entire population, and thus sample estimates and population values are likely to be different. The magnitude of the likely difference is quantified through the 95% confidence interval. For example, in 1998, the vaccination coverage estimate for 3 doses of poliovirus vaccine in Alabama was 91.4% +- 3.2%. This means that the true coverage was probably between 88.2% and 94.6%. When comparing two estimates (e.g., between states or between years), an overlap in the confidence intervals indicates that the observed difference might be due to chance.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 denominator is based upon 2002 population estimate.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data is provisional and based upon 2003 data.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The State Oral Health Program has begun collecting sealant prevalence data on 3rd graders statewide. This preliminary data was used to tabulate the sealant prevalence rate for FY2002 because the Oral Health Program is confident that this data more accurately reflects the sealant status of this population than the data previously collected more than 5 years ago.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The State Oral Health Program has begun collecting sealant prevalence data on 3rd graders statewide, every 5 years. This data is used to tabulate the sealant prevalence rate for FY2003 because the Oral Health Program is confident that this data more accurately reflects the sealant status of this population than the data previously collected more than 5 years ago.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The State Oral Health Program has begun collecting sealant prevalence data on 3rd graders statewide, every 5 years. This data is used to tabulate the sealant prevalence rate for FY2004 because the Oral Health Program is confident that this data more accurately reflects the sealant status of this population than the data previously collected more than 5 years ago.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 and 2002 data are provisional because current Census estimates used for the denominators are not yet available.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Louisiana PRAMS measures how many women surveyed breastfed at the hospital. Due to non-response, the 2000 LaPRAMS data set is representative of a portion of the LA births (66.175).

2001 and 2002 estimates are based on 2000 data from LaPRAMS.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Louisiana PRAMS measures how many women surveyed breastfed at the hospital. 2003 and 2004 estimates are based on 2002 data from LaPRAMS.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Louisiana PRAMS measures how many women surveyed breastfed at the hospital. 2003 and 2004 estimates are based on 2002 data from LaPRAMS.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 2001 estimates are from the AAP, Children's Health Insurance Status Medicaid/SCHIP Eligibility and Enrollment Report 2001 report. Data not yet available for FY 2002.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 2003 estimates are from the AAP, Children's Health Insurance Status Medicaid/SCHIP Eligibility and Enrollment Report 2003 report. .

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY 2004 estimates are from the AAP, Children's Health Insurance Status Medicaid/SCHIP Eligibility and Enrollment Report 2003 report. Data is not yet available for 2004.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 2001 numerator is from Louisiana Medicaid Program's Annual Report 2000/2001, - number of children under 19 who received a service. The denominator is from the American Academy of Pediatrics' Children's Health Insurance Status Medicaid/SCHIP Eligibility and Enrollment Report 2001 - estimate of the number of children through 18 years eligible for the Medicaid/State Child Health Insurance Program.

FY 2002 estimate is based on 2001 data because data is not yet available for FY 2002.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 2002 numerator is from Louisiana Medicaid Program's Annual Report 2001/2002, - number of children under 19 who received a service. The denominator is from the American Academy of Pediatrics' Children's Health Insurance Status Medicaid/SCHIP Eligibility and Enrollment Report 2002 - estimate of the number of children through 18 years eligible for the Medicaid/State Child Health Insurance Program.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2002
Field Note:
Data is calendar year.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year. 2004 data is provisional.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is based upon 2003 calendar year data.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Date is for calendar year.

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The objective for 2003 remains at 6.9 because no funding has been provided for expansion.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

The denominator is from the Guttmacher Institute's 1995 estimate of women in need of publicly funded family planning services by state, and remains constant until the Institute updates the estimates.

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is for calendar year.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

FY 2001 and 2002 denominators report 2000 population estimates from the US Census Bureau.

FY 2002 data is from the Office of Community Services. Measures reported prior to 2001 reported validated allegations of child abuse and neglect (duplicated counts), rather than the number of unduplicated victims. In FY 2001, unduplicated victims were reported. Data has been adjusted back to 1998 to consistently report unduplicated victims.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Denominator is from factfinder.census.gov, "DP-1: Profile of General Demographic Characteristics: 2000," for ages 0-19.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 1998 through 2001 data was an estimate based on percentage of visits, rather than patients. FY 2002 data is a more accurate measure based on an unduplicated count of patients who received follow-up.

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data reported for 2002 is based on Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than or equal to 95% for children aged 2-5 years. This is different from the measure used previously based on greater than or equal to 95% weight for height for children aged 0-5 years. This change was made due to the implementation of the new CDC growth charts which utilize BMI of children over 2 years of age. BMI over the 95th percentile is more predictive of overweight at older ages.

Data has been updated from 1998-present.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is from LaPRAMS.

FY 2001 and 2002 estimates are based on 2000 data because more current data is not yet available.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2003 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Source:

2002 LaPRAMS (Louisiana Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System) survey of new mothers.

The numerator is the total # of women included in PRAMS who report that they used cigarettes and /or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent pregnancy.

The denominator is the total # of women included in PRAMS minus the # of women who did not answer the question (missing).

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 LaPRAMS (Louisiana Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System) survey of new mothers.

The numerator is the total # of women included in PRAMS who report that they used cigarettes and /or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent pregnancy.

The denominator is the total # of women included in PRAMS minus the # of women who did not answer the question (missing).

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Source:

2002 LaPRAMS (Louisiana Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System) survey of new mothers.

The numerator is the total # of women included in PRAMS who report that they used cigarettes and /or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent pregnancy.

The denominator is the total # of women included in PRAMS minus the # of women who did not answer the question (missing).

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2002
Field Note:

Data is calendar year.

2002 estimates are based on 2001 data, which was updated from last year's Block Grant submission, as a more complete data set was available.

62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 2002 estimates are based on the Child Care Health Consultant monthly report system.

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 2003 data are based on the Child Care Health Consultant monthly report system.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY 2004 data is based on the Child Care Health Consultant monthly report system.

65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Formerly measured under State Performance Measure 8. The objective for the performance measure has been revised to better reflect the overall activities performed related to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Formerly measured under State Performance Measure 8. The objective for the performance measure has been revised to better reflect the overall activities performed related to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: LA

ne infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
		<u> </u>	Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001	2	002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	8.3		8.1	8.5		8.3		
Annual Indicator	8.9	(9.8	10.2		9.3		9
Numerator	603		642	661		604		6
Denominator	67,843		65,193	64,755		64,689		64,6
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		1			Final		Provisiona	al
		A	Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2	007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	22		8.6	8.4		8.4		8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives	for the abov		tor, Denom		Annual Indio	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives	for the abov		tor, Denom		Annual Indid	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data	for the abov			inator and	Annual Indio	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data	for the abov	ve years. Numeral		inator and	Annual Indio	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate.	ne Objectives ure year data	for the abov	ve years. Numerat	mance Da	inator and		cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objectives ure year data <u>A</u> 2001	for the abov	ve years. Numeral	mance Da	inator and		cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator DENOMINATION DENO	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objectives ure year data	for the above	ve years. Numerate vective and Perfor 002	mance Da	inator and		cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator DENOMINATION DENO	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate. 2000 1.8 2.3 13.2	ne Objectives ure year data	Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da	ta 1.8 2.2		cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 1.8 2.3 13.2 5.8	ne Objectives ure year data	Annual Objection 1.8 2.2 14.4	ective and Perfor 002 1.8 2.2	mance Da	1.8 2.2 13.8		2 13
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 1.8 2.3 13.2 5.8	e Objectives ure year data	1.8 2.2 14.4 6.5	ective and Perfor 002	rmance Date 2003 Final	1.8 2.2 13.8 6.4	2004	2 13
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator DENOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 1.8 2.3 13.2 5.8	2001	1.8 2.2 14.4 6.5	ective and Perfor 002 1.8 2.2 15 6.9	rmance Dar 2003 Final	1.8 2.2 13.8 6.4	2004 Provisiona	2 13
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate. 2000 1.8 2.3 13.2 5.8	2001	1.8 2.2 14.4 6.5	ective and Perfor 002	rmance Date 2003 Final	1.8 2.2 13.8 6.4	2004	1 2 13

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live billins.		Annua	Chicative and Barfor		
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		4.8		5.4	
Annual Indicator		6.4	6.6	5.7	5.7
	389	417	428	371	37
Denominator		65,193		64.689	64,68
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		00,100	07,700	Final	Provisional
is the butter to the state of t				Tilla	1 To violotici
		Annua	Objective and Perfor	man <u>çe Data</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentillin and other	e Objectives for the	ahove years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Appual Indicators
			abovo youro. Humora	ioi, Denominator and	Ariffual fridicators
Numerator	not required for futi	ure year data.	abovo youro. rumoru	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual mulcators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	, above yours. Numora	or, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futi	ure year data.	, above years. Namera	or, benominator and	Allitual Illulcators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual mulcators
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data. Annua	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	not required for future and the futu	ure yéar data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	2000 3.1	ure yéar data. Annua 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 2.9	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	2000 3.1 3.2	Annual 2001 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 3.1	Annual 2001 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 2.9	2004
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 3.1 3.2 214	Annual 2001 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.6 233	2003 2.9 3.6	2004 323
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835	Annual 2001 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.6 233	2003 2.9 3.6 233	
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835	Annual 2001 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.6 233	2003 2.9 3.6 233 64,689	2004 3. 23 64,68
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835	Annual 2001 3 3.5 225 65,193	Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.6 233 64,755	2.9 2.33 64,689 Final	2004 3. 23 64,68 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835	Annual 2001 3.5 65,193 Annual 2006	3.6 233 64,755	2003 2.9 3.6 233 64,689 Final	2004 3. 23 64,68 Provisional
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835 2005	Annual 2001 3 3.5 225 65,193	3.6 233 64,755	2.9 2.33 64,689 Final	2004 3. 23 64,68 Provisional
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 3.1 3.2 214 67,835	Annual 2001 3 3.5 225 65,193 Annual 2006 2.8	3.6 233 64,755	2.9 2.33 64,689 Final mance Data 2008 2.6	2004 3 23 64,68 Provisional 2009

Denominator

e perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10.8	11	10.9	1
Annual Indicato	11.2	12.4	8.6	7.5	7.
Numerato	763	815	558	485	48
Denominato	f 68,312	65,666	65,235	64,887	64,88
Is the Data Provisional or Final	•			Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	11.8	11.6	11.4	11.2	1
JTCOME MEASURE # 06		ne Objectives for the a			
JTCOME MEASURE # 06			Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
TCOME MEASURE # 06	2000		Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
TCOME MEASURE # 06	2000	Annual	-		
TCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	2000 35.5	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	2002	2003	3
ITCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	2000 35.5	Annual (2001 35.3	2002 31	30.5	26
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	2000 35.5 30.8 289	Annual (2001 35.3 31.5	2002 31 34.8	2003 30.5 26.6	26. 24
DENOMINATO JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000 35.5 30.8 289 938,606	Annual 6 2001 35.3 31.5 299	31 34.8 316	2003 30.5 26.6 242	2004 3 26. 24 908,24 Provisional
DENOMINATO JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 35.5 30.8 289 938,606	Annual 6 2001 35.3 31.5 299 948,266	31 34.8 316	2003 30.5 26.6 242 908,241 Final	26. 24 908,24
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 35.5 30.8 289 938,606	Annual 6 2001 35.3 31.5 299 948,266	31 34.8 316 907,560	2003 30.5 26.6 242 908,241 Final	26. 24 908,24
DENOMINATO JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 35.5 30.8 289 938,606	Annual (2001) 35.3 31.5 299 948,266	31 34.8 316 907,560	30.5 26.6 242 908,241 Final	26. 24 908,24 Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Starting in the 2006 Block Grant Cycle, Louisiana changed this measure to match the National definition. Data from 2002 forward was changed. Data before 2002 is reported with the Louisiana definition where the numerator includes fetal deaths equal to or greater than 20 weeks, or equal to or greater than 350 grams.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

Starting in the 2006 Block Grant Cycle, Louisiana changed this measure to match the National definition. Data from 2002 forward was changed. Data before 2002 is reported with the Louisiana definition where the numerator includes fetal deaths equal to or greater than 20 weeks, or equal to or greater than 350 grams.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Starting in the 2006 Block Grant Cycle, Louisiana changed this measure to match the National definition. Data from 2002 forward was changed. Data before 2002 is reported with the Louisiana definition where the numerator includes fetal deaths equal to or greater than 20 weeks, or equal to or greater than 350 grams.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 and 2002 data are provisional because the Census estimates used for the denominator are not yet available.

10. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: 17 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

Children's Special Health Services' nine regional clinics coordinate services among the child's medical home, specialty care and other providers. Nurses and social workers act as care coordinators and collaborate with other agencies and providers on a community level to obtain comprehensive care for CSHCN. Parent liaisons in each clinic provide resources and information to families of CSHCN. The CSHS Long Range Plan has begun a collaborative statewide process to determine the most effective system of services for CSHCN in Louisiana.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: LA FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Decrease infant mortality and morbidity in collaboration with regional coalitions comprised of public and private health and social service providers.
- 2. Decrease intentional and unintentional injuries in the maternal, child, adolescent, and children with special health care needs populations.
- 3. Assure access to quality health care for the maternal, child, adolescent, and children with special health care needs populations, addressing barriers including Medicaid provider availability and lack of transportation.
- Address the mental health needs of the maternal, child, adolescent, and children with special health care needs populations, through prevention and early intervention, screening, referral, and where appropriate, treatment.
- 5. Address the substance abuse related needs of the maternal and adolescent population, through prevention and early intervention, screening, and referral.
- 6. Promote comprehensive systems of care and seamless transition to adult services for the children with special health care needs population by providing care coordination.
- 7. Promote pre-conceptional and inter-conceptional health care including family planning and folic acid education.
- 8. Address the oral health needs of the maternal, child, adolescent, and children with special health care needs populations.
- 9. Improve the health of the maternal, child, adolescent, and children with special health care needs populations, addressing healthy nutrition, proper prenatal weight gain, breastfeeding, and physical activity.
- 10. Obtain and utilize reliable evidence to: a) identify preventable causes of maternal, child and adolescent mortality and morbidity; b) develop preventive public health campaigns targeting high risk populations; and c) perform process and outcome evaluation.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: LA APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 4	Care Coordination Training Development	To develop a care coordination training program and provide training for staff	Betty Pressler National Shriner's Program
2.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 4	Family training focused on promoting family independence and incorporating family support into the CSHS care coordination model of service	To enhance CSHS Parent Liaison services	National expert in Paretn Training
3.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 9	Strategic planning for development and best practice mthodologies for legislation of statewide Feto-Infant Mortality Review (FIMR) Forums	To expand the current FIMR Initiative through the creation of a broad-based representative forum in each of Louisiana's nine public health regions and New Orleans	Southern New Jersey Perinatal Cooperative, Director of Operations and Prevention Programs and/or the NJ Dept. of Health and Senior Services Program Manager of Reproductive and Perinatal Health
4.	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:3	Medical Home for CSHCN	To develop a plan to sustain and implement model Medical Home practices throughout the state	National expert AAP Medical Home staff member
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: LA

SP#

Percent of all children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

STATUS: Active

GOAL To offer access to comprehensive preventive and primary health services, including mental health services, to children

and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana.

DEFINITION # of children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health center

services / # of children and adolescents enrolled in Louisiana public schools

Numerator:

of children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health center

services

Denominator:

of children and adolescents enrolled in Louisiana public schools

Units: 100 Text: Percent

21.2 Receipt of recommended services **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Increase to at least 50% the proportion of adolescents, and to at least 80% the proportion of children age 2-12, who have

received, as a minimum within the appropriate interval, all of the screening and immunization services and at least one of

the counseling services appropriate for their age.

Adolescent School Health Initiative Annual Services Report. Louisiana Department of Education. Increasing the percent of **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

all children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health center

services will depend on substantial financial support for school-based health centers.

SIGNIFICANCE According to the 1996 Annie Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Book, Louisiana ranks last in the nation for the manner in which it provides health and quality care for its children. School-based health centers provide convenient access to

primary and preventive care for children and adolescents who might otherwise have limited or no access to health care.

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women in need of family planning services who have received such services.

STATUS:

Active

GOAL To increase the percent of women in need of family planning services who have received such services through publicly

supported clinics.

DEFINITIONThe number of women in need of family planning services who have received such services from a publicly supported clinic

in a calendar year. The number of women in need of family planning services in a calendar year.

Numerator

The number of women in need of family planning services who have received such services from a publicly supported clinic in a calendar year.

Denominator:

The number of women in need of family planning services in a calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 5.2 Unintended pregnancy

Reduce to no more than 30% the proportion of all pregnancies that are unintended.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Family planning program data. The Alan Guttmacher Institute report on Contraceptive Needs and Services estimates the

need for publicly funded services for women between the ages of 13 and 44 years who are sexually active, and are neither

intentionally pregnant nor trying to become pregnant.

In the United States, only half of pregnancies are planned at the time of conception. For some of these unplanned pregnancies, the women wanted to get pregnant later; for others, the women wanted no more children at all. About half of unplanned pregnancies are also associated with more problems for the mother and infant than planned pregnancies. Women with unplanned pregnancies cannot take advantage of preconception care, a special health care visit that helps women achieve the healthiest possible pregnancy outcome. Planning to become pregnant at a time when a woman is

healthy and ready to become a parent can maximize the health and opportunities for women, children, and families, and can

reduce abortions.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of children (per 1,000) under 18 who have been abused or neglected.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To prevent child abuse and neglect.

DEFINITION # of validated cases of child abuse and neglect to children under age 18 in LA / Total population under age 18 in LA

Numerator:

of validated cases of child abuse and neglect to children under 18 in LA

Denominator:

Total population under 18 in LA 1999

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7.4 Child abuse and neglect

Reverse to less that 25.2 per 1000 children the rising incidence of maltreatment of children younger than age 18. (Baseline

25.2 per 1000 in 1986)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Numerator is from State of Louisiana, Department of Social Services, Office of Community Services. Numbers are based on Louisiana FY 98-99. Valid cases, as defined by OCS, mean that a review of the facts showed a reason to believe abuse had taken place. Denominator is from U.S. Bureau of the Census 1999 population estimates. The number of reported cases of

child abuse and neglect is much higher than the validated cases. For instance, for fiscal year 1996 the numbers were 45,981 reported cases vs. 14,863 validated cases. It is difficult to project five year goals for this measure, since as awareness of child abuse and neglect is heightened, numbers of reported and validated cases may actually increase.

SIGNIFICANCE

Children who witness or are victims of violence at home display emotional and behavioral disturbance as diverse as withdrawal, low self-esteem, nightmares, self-blame, and aggression against peers, family members and/or property. A

comparison of delinquent and nondelinquent youth found that a history of family violence or abuse is the most significant

difference between the two groups.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) from a nurse, social worker, or nutritionist.

STATUS: Activ

Goal To ensure that a coordinated continuum of appropriate services exist for the care of children with potential or actual chronic

and disabling conditions and their families.

DEFINITIONUnduplicated count of CSHS patients with a follow-up visit / Unduplicated count of CSHS patients

Numerator:

Unduplicated count of CSHS patients with a follow-up visit

Denominator:

Unduplicated count of CSHS patients

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 17.2 Disability due to chronic conditions

Reduce to no more than 8% the proportion of people who experience a limitation in major activity due to chronic conditions.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

A new Ph-9 form collecting more accurate information on follow-up visits for CSHS patients has just been implemented in three regions, and all regions will eventually adopt the new form. In five years, it is expected that 60% of CSHS patients will

have received case management services, since Louisiana's CSHS program is placing increasing emphasis on a more holistic approach. The program envisions increased case management for its children, and a shift away from simple direct

medical intervention.

SIGNIFICANCE

The establishment of systems of services that reflect the principles of comprehensive, community-based, coordinated, family-centered care are essential for effectively fostering and facilitating activities to (1) reverse of slow the progress of

raminy-centered care are essential for effectively tostering and facilitating activities to (1) reverse or slow the progress of chronic and disabling conditions among children; (2) minimize the complications and impact of chronic disabling conditions among children; (3) strengthen the ability of families to care for children with actual or potential chronic and disabling conditions; and (4) enable children with more serious conditions to remain in the home and community-based living

arrangements, rather than in institutional settings.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children (2 - 5 years old) on WIC greater than or equal to the 95th percentile for BMI-for-age.

STATUS: Active

GOAL

To reduce the prevalence of overweight children living below 185% of poverty.

DEFINITION

The number of cases of children (2-5 years old) greater than or equal to the 95th percentile BMI-for-age on the Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)/ The total number of cases of children (2 - 5 years old) on the Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)

The number of cases of children (2-5 years old) greater than or equal to the 95th percentile weight for BMI-for-age on the

Supplementary Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

The total number of cases of children (2 - 5 years old) on the Supplementary Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and

Children

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

2.3 Overweight

Reduce overweight to a prevalence of no more than 20% among people aged 20 and older and no more than 15% among

adolescents aged 12 through 19.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (PEDNSS). NHANES III indicates that nationally there has been a consistent rise (from 1971 to 1991) in the prevalence of overweight children, similar to the rise in

Louisiana from 1995 to 1997. Currently, the national average is 8%. Our goal is to stop the rise in Louisiana, and bring it

down to today's national average.

SIGNIFICANCE

Obesity is the most common nutritional disorder in the United States; the number of overweight children in the U.S. has more than doubled in the last 10 years. Children who are overweight can have higher blood pressure and blood cholesterol levels, particularly in children genetically prone to these conditions. The greatest risk for overweight children is that they will remain overweight into adulthood. Obese children who become obese adults are at greater risk, at a younger age, for developing heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, gallbladder disease, arthritis, and certain

cancers.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who have had a baby who report physical abuse either during their last pregnancy or in the 12 months

prior to becoming pregnant.

STATUS: A

GOAL To intervene in cases of domestic violence and to prevent violence from occurring again.

DEFINITION # of women surveyed who were physically abused either during their last pregnancy or in the 12 months prior to becoming

pregnant /

Numerator:

of women surveyed who were physically abused either during their last pregnancy or in the 12 months prior to becoming

pregnant /

Denominator:

of women surveyed

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7.5 Partner abuse

Reduce physical abuse directed at women by male partners to no more than 27 per 1000 couples. (Baseline 30 per 1000

couples in 1985.)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Louisiana's Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (LaPRAMS), a population based survey, is used for this

measure. LaPRAMS data collection began on October 1, 1997, and an estimate was made for the 1999 block grant application using the first six months of LaPRAMS data (October 1997 - March 1998). That estimate, 5.5%, was thought to be an extreme underestimate, given the rough data that was used and anecdotal information received from LaPRAMS staff. More accurate data is available for the 2000 block grant application and the five year goal has been revised accordingly.

SIGNIFICANCE Domestic violence results in a range of medical and psychosocial problems which are rarely identified as domestic violence,

including rape, miscarriage, abortion, attempted suicide, alcohol and drug abuse, psychiatric disease, and child abuse. Abuse accounts for almost three times as many visits for health care than motor vehicle accidents. Battering is the number one cause of birth defects. Pregnancy is a risk factor for battering; up to 25% of pregnant women are abused. More than 60% of calls to 911 are due to domestic violence, and one third of these calls are placed by children. Domestic violence is

repetitive in nature and 75% of identified battered women will go on to suffer repeated abuse.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who use substances (alcohol and tobacco) during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce substance use during pregnancy.

DEFINITION # of women surveyed who report they have used cigarettes and / or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent

pregnancy / # of women surveyed

of women surveyed who report they have used cigarettes and / or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent

pregnancy

Denominator:

of women surveyed Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 14.10 Alcohol, tobacco, and drug use during pregnancy

Increase abstinence from tobacco use by pregnant women to at least 90%, increase abstinence from alcohol by pregnant

women to at least 90%, and increase abstinence from cocaine and marijuana by pregnant women to at least 90%.

Initially, the data was taken from birth certificate data, which resulted in a low estimate due to the known limitations of birth **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

certificate data. Data from LaPRAMS, Louisiana's Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System, is now used and is a

more accurate data source for this measure.

Substance use during pregnancy contributes significantly to the number of low birth weight babies and the infant mortality and morbidity rates in Louisiana. **SIGNIFICANCE**

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled and working on MCH/CSHS data and epidemiologic

issues.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To develop the epidemiologic capacity of the MCH and CSHS programs.

DEFINITION Number of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled

Numerator

Number of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions filled

Denominator:

Total number of Central Office and regional epidemiologic positions planned

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 22.1 Surveillance and Data Systems

Develop a set of health status indicators appropriate for Federal, State and local health agencies and establish use of the

set in at least 40 states.

22.2a Surveillance and Data Systems

State level data for at least two-thrids of the objectives.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

There are 9 Central Office epidemiology positions established, and 9 regional positions. These epidemiologists will assure

that the epidemiological capacity of the MCH and CSHS Programs is enhanced, via a functioning PRAMS program, improved surveillance and data collection systems, and ongoing mortality reviews. There are other epidemiologists within the Agency who devote pieces of their time to MCH/CSHS data collection and analysis; however, only those Central Office

epidemiological staff who devote 100% time to MCH/CSHS are counted here.

SIGNIFICANCE It is important for MCH to convert its system from a direct care services program to an epidemiologic driven program, in

order to maintain the program and move it into the twenty-first century.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of licensed day care centers with a health consultant contact.

STATUS: Activ

Goal To improve the health and safety of child care settings by providing support, guidance, and technical assistance to local

child care providers, social service agencies, and families.

DEFINITIONThe number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana with a health consultant contact / The number of licensed day care

centers in Louisiana

Numerator:

The number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana with a health consultant contact

Janaminator:

The number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 20.8 Diarrhea among children in child care centers

Reduce infectious diarrhea by at least 25% among children in licensed child care centers.

10.14 Service and protection

Establish in 50 states either public or labor department programs that provide consultation and assistance to small

businesses to implement safety and health programs for their employees.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

This program has just begun -- health consultants have been trained and Resource and Referral sites have been

established statewide. Data for this measure was initially taken from a November, 1996, needs assessment of LA Child

Care Centers. Data is now collected from communications with the health consultants themselves.

SIGNIFICANCE Basic concepts of health and safety need to be a part of training for all child care providers. Children cared for in group

settings are more likely to be exposed to communicable diseases and may be exposed to more risk for injury. These children must depend on the caregiver for proper nutrition and food served in a safe and sanitary manner. National Health and Safety Performance Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs, developed by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Public Health Association, offers standards for the major health and safety issues in child care. Recommendation 55: We recommend that each community should have access to an identified child health

consultant who can provide consultations and technical assistance on health issues to facilities.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease the rate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death.

DEFINITION Number of infant deaths due to SIDS in a calendar year/ Number of live births

Numerator: Number of infant deaths due to SIDS in a calendar year

Denominator: Number of live births Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIDS Program Data, Vital Statistics Mortality Data

SIGNIFICANCE

SIDS is the number one cause of unexpected infant death. SIDS is the most common cause of death in the post-neonatal period. The U.S. SIDS rate has declined almost 50% since the initiation of the "Back to Sleep Campaign" in 1994. However, there is still significant racial disparity. Rates are much higher in lower social economic status (SES) groups across all

populations.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: LA

HEALTH SYSTEMS	CAPACITY	MEASURE # ()1
----------------	----------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	63.6	67.9	61.4	57.6	57.6		
Numerator	2,020	2,173	1,984	1,870	1,870		
Denominator	317,392	320,131	322,952	324,428	324,428		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	87.6	86.9	86.7	87.7	88.7			
Numerator	34,929	35,698	36,875	38,174	40,591			
Denominator	39,863	41,068	42,510	43,551	45,770			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	64.8	60.5	83.1	86.5	86.4		
Numerator	103	338	649	536	515		
Denominator	159	559	781	620	596		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	86.1	86.6	87.2	89.9	89.8	
Numerator	58,031	55,901	56,114	57,994	57,906	
Denominator	67,409	64,520	64,375	64,500	64,448	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07 The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year. **Annual Indicator Data** 2000 2001 2004 2002 2003 36.1 36.8 38.8 39.4 41.5 **Annual Indicator** 37,680 42,119 49,305 53,553 59,417 Numerator 143,106 104,272 114,337 127,201 135,807 Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	2.5	6.1	6.7	5.8	4.6	
Numerator	568	1,654	1,611	1,486	1,228	
Denominator	22,560	27,110	23,920	25,443	26,671	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 2002 data is an estimate based on FY 2001 because 2002 data is not yet available. FY 2001 denominator is from 2000 census and will be updated when 2001 population estimates are available.

Numerators have been changed for FY 1998 - 2000 due to an improved data analysis process. Because data collection began 1/1/98, FY 1998 data represents only 9 months of data.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Population estimate for age 14 years and younger was calculated by adding under 5, 5-13 and 14-17 year population estimate categories. However, the 14-17 year category was divided by 4 in order to estimate those 14 years old. In addition, the 2003 population estimate for age 15-24 was calculated by adding the 18 to 24 year category to the 14-17 year category (divided by 4 and multiplied by 3).

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is provisional, based on 2003.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is from the HCFA 416 report for October 2001 - September 2002.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

From Louisiana Medicaid Management Information Systems, HCFA 416, for dates of service 10/01/2002 - 09/30/2003.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

From Louisiana Medicaid Management Information Systems, HCFA 416, for dates of service 10/01/2003 - 09/30/2004. Since Louisiana's SCHIP program is through Medicaid expansion, the numerator and denominator Include children enrolled in LaCHIP.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This data was not available for years 1998 and 1999.

Data was provided by Medicaid office.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data was provided by Medicaid office.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

Data is from the HCFA 416 report for October 2001 - September 2002.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

From Louisiana Medicaid Management Information Systems, HCFA 416, for dates of service 10/01/2002 - 09/30/2003.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

From Louisiana Medicaid Management Information Systems, HCFA 416, for dates of service 10/01/2003 - 09/30/2004.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The Medicaid program converted their identification numbering system and no longer indicate whether or not a child is on SSI by using part of the 13 digit Medicaid number. An estimate was obtained from Medicaid by obtaining the number of children on SSI who had obtained services from the Office of Public Health. This could be an overestimate as CSHS patients receiving immunizations or WIC would also be included in the count.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The Medicaid program converted their identification numbering system and no longer indicate whether or not a child is on SSI by using part of the 13 digit Medicaid number. An estimate was obtained from Medicaid by obtaining the number of children on SSI who had obtained services from the Office of Public Health. This could be an overestimate as OPH patients receiving immunizations or WIC would also be included in the count. Source for 2003 numerator is Medicaid, FFY 03: October 2002 - September 2003

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source for 2004 numerator is Medicaid. The Denominator is from the SSI program.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: LA

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAR DATA SOURCE		POPULATION				
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL		
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Matching data files	12.5	8.1	10.7		
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Matching data files	10.9	7.1	9.3		
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Matching data files	78	92.8	84.1		
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Matching data files	88.2	92.4	89.9		

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: LA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 14) (Age range to)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	200

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: LA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Women
Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Percent of Poverty Level for pregnant women went to 200% as of January 1, 2004.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women

Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
Pregnant women are covered under the state's LaMOMS program. This program is a standard Medicaid program and is not covered by SCHIP funds.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: LA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)		
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	2	No		
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	2	No		
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No		
Annual birth defects surveillance system	2	No		
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: LA

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Other: Youth Tobacco Survey	2	No
Communities Care	3	No

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

'Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: Discharge
Row Name: Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:
Hospital discharge survey data is at 71.1% of in-state discharges with 72.9 % of beds reporting and 51.7 % hospitals reporting.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: LA

	•	J.A.L.							
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		10.6		10.5	10.5		10.7		10.7
Numerator		7,224		6,822	6,778		6,916		6,916
Denominator	r <u>67</u>	7,843		65,183	64,743		64,677		64,677
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		8.9		8.6	8.7		8.8		8.8
Numerator	r	5,883		5,417	5,470		5,519		5,519
Denominator	r65	5,821		63,060	62,701		62,603		62,603
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	·	2.4		2.3	2.1		2.2		2.2
Numerator	r	1,614		1,508	1,328		1,400		1,400
Denominato	r67	7,843		65,183	64,743		64,677		64,677
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	240			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	•	2.0		1.8	1.7		1.7		1.7
Numerator		1,333		1,132	1,057		1,086	-	1,086
Denominato					,				
	, h	5,821		63,060	62,701		62,603		62,603

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			Annual Indicator Da		
Annual Indicator	2000 14.0	2001 16.4	2002 16.7	2003 12.6	2004 12.6
	140	166	162	123	123
	1,002,084	1,012,891	971,665	973,127	973,127
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		1,012,001	011,000	Final	Provisional
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	6.8	7.0	6.7	4.6	4.
Numerator		71	65	45	4
	1,002,084	1,012,891	976,741	973,127	973,12
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C		ng youth aged 15 thro	uigh 24 vears	Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C		ng youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Da		Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo	ehicle crashes amor	2001 35.6	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4	ata 2003 35.2	2004 35.
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 37.7 261	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235	ata 2003 35.2 248	2004 35.
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 37.7 261 691,516	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044	2004 35.2 24: 705,04
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 37.7 261 691,516	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235	ata 2003 35.2 248	2004 35 24
Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Numerator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 37.7 261 691,516	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044	2004 35. 24 705,04
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 37.7 261 691,516	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235 664,182	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044 Final	2004 35. 24 705,04
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 37.7 261 691,516	2001 35.6 231	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044 Final	2004 35.2 24: 705,04
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 37.7 261 691,516 ears and younger.	2001 35.6 231 648,692	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235 664,182 Annual Indicator Day	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044 Final	2004 35.2 244 705,04 Provisional
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and the death rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are	2000 37.7 261 691,516 rears and younger. 2000	2001 35.6 231 648,692 2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 35.4 235 664,182 Annual Indicator Day 2002	ata 2003 35.2 248 705,044 Final	2004 35.2 24: 705,04 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			•		
	2000	2004	Annual Indicator Da		2004
August In Proc	2000	2001 .6 47.2	2002 48.2	2003 49.5	2004 49.5
Annual Indicate					
Numerato		<u> 466</u>		482	482
Denominato		987,585	976,741	973,302	973,302
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashe	s among youth a	jed 15 through 24 years			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicate			148.8	138.5	138.5
Numerato	or1,04	1,072	1,049	976	970
Denominato	or 691,51	18 698,767	704,744	704,870	704,870
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	•		Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicate				36.6	40.3
Numerate	or5,51	5,296	5,587	6,645	6,853
Numerati					
Denominato	or 165,00	00 181,567	181,567	181,567	169,95

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is preliminary.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is preliminary.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is preliminary.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is preliminary.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 and 2002 denominator is from Census 2000 and will be updated when an estimate is available.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 and 2002 denominator is from Census 2000 and will be updated when an estimate is available.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: The 2003 data is final.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 and 2002 denominator is from Census 2000 and will be updated when an estimate is available.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: 2003 data is final.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data reported for 2002 final data. E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848, #850-869, and E880-928.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A

Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Population estimate for age 14 years and younger was calculated by adding under 5, 5-13 and 14-17 year population estimate categories. However, the 14-17 year category was divided by 4 in order to estimate those 14 years old. In addition, the 2003 population estimate for age 15-24 was calculated by adding the 18 to 24 year category to the 14-17 year category (divided by 4 and multiplied by 3). E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848, E850-869, and E880-928.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is provisional, based upon 2003 data.

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data reported is final for 2002. Population estimates are final for 2002. E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848.

15. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Population estimate for age 14 years and younger was calculated by adding under 5, 5-13 and 14-17 year population estimate categories. However, the 14-17 year category was divided by 4 in order to estimate those 14 years old. In addition, the 2003 population estimate for age 15-24 was calculated by adding the 18 to 24 year category to the 14-17 year category (divided by 4 and multiplied by 3). E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848.

16. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name:

Column Nam Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is provisional, based upon 2003. E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848.

17. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data reported for 2002 is final. The denominator for 2001 is based on 2000 census data, and will be updated when a 2001 estimate is available. E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848.

18. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Population estimate for age 14 years and younger was calculated by adding under 5, 5-13 and 14-17 year population estimate categories. However, the 14-17 year category was divided by 4 in order to estimate those 14 years old. In addition, the 2003 population estimate for age 15-24 was calculated by adding the 18 to 24 year category to the 14-177 year category (divided by 4 and multiplied by 3). E codes used for 2002 & 2003 were E800-848.

19. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is provisional, based upon 2003.

20. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY 2002 denominator is from 2000 census.

21. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 2003 denominator is from 2000 census.

22. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source of Denominator is 2004 Census Estimate.

23. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2002 Field Note: FY 2002 denominator is from 2000 census.

24. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: FY 2003 denominator is from 2000 census.

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	64,885	35,850	26,795	345	840	21	1,034	0
Children 1 through 4	259,543	143,402	107,179	1,381	3,360	86	4,135	0
Children 5 through 9	311,995	175,912	125,569	1,940	4,389	95	4,090	0
Children 10 through 14	336,704	189,748	136,565	2,345	4,511	105	3,430	0
Children 15 through 19	347,200	197,888	139,180	2,396	4,487	100	3,149	0
Children 20 through 24	357,844	213,165	133,040	2,361	5,987	201	3,090	0
Children 0 through 24	1,678,171	955,965	668,328	10,768	23,574	608	18,928	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
63,093	1,792	0
252,374	7,169	0
303,068	8,927	0
328,085	8,619	0
338,455	8,745	0
347,147	10,697	0
1,632,222	45,949	0
	63,093 252,374 303,068 328,085 338,455 347,147	63,093 1,792 252,374 7,169 303,068 8,927 328,085 8,619 338,455 8,745 347,147 10,697

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)
For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	183	31	150	2	0	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	2,984	1,013	1,933	19	10	0	9	0
Women 18 through 19	6,468	2,886	3,511	37	29	0	5	0
Women 20 through 34	49,237	29,197	18,771	318	868	9	74	0
Women 35 or older	5,817	3,939	1,659	25	177	3	14	0
Women of all ages	64,689	37,066	26,024	401	1,084	12	102	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	181	2	0
Women 15 through 17	2,920	63	1
Women 18 through 19	6,337	128	3
Women 20 through 34	47,943	1,265	29
Women 35 or older	5,593	203	21
Women of all ages	62,974	1,661	54

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	604	236	359	2	7	0	0	0
Children 1 through 4	107	32	73	0	2	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	61	26	34	0	1	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	74	26	47	0	1	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	330	193	135	0	2	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	494	272	214	3	5	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	1,670	785	862	5	18	0	0	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	587	8	9
Children 1 through 4	107	0	0
Children 5 through 9	61	0	0
Children 10 through 14	71	2	1
Children 15 through 19	326	1	3
Children 20 through 24	488	4	2
Children 0 through 24	1,640	15_	15

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,320,327	742,800.0	535,288.0	8,407.0	17,587.0	407.0	15,838.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	30.7							30.7	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	2.1							2.1	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	569,176	183,454.0	349,262.0	1,508.0	2,789.0	105.0	1,046.0	31,012.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	118,161	55,294.0	53,699.0	371.0	805.0	25.0	281.0	7,686.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	4,199							4,199.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	380,496							380,496.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	105,752	40,251.0	61,074.0	427.0	958.0			3,042.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2,951.0								2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	8.3	6.5	10.8	9.7	4.8				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,285,075.0	35,252.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent			30.7	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families			2.1	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	541,769.0	4,651.0	27,407.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	110,194.0	1,627.0	6,340.0	2004
Number living in foster home care			4,199.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program			380,496.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	149,712.0		3,040.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests			2,951.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	8.3	8.8		2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional
----------------------	--	--

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	873,721
Living in urban areas	838,772
Living in rural areas	321,529
Living in frontier areas	4,660
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,164,961

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: LA

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	4,515,770.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	9.4	
100% of poverty	17.0	
200% of poverty	39.9	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: LA

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics) Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,320,327.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	13.0
100% of poverty	25.5
200% of poverty	50.2

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

Source is 2003 Provisional Data

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 08B Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Infants

Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 08B Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Children1to4 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 08B Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Children5to9 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Children10to14

Row Name: children 10 through 14 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Children15to19

Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 08B Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Children20to24

Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source is 2003 Provisional data.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_Children

Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Source is 2003 Final.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Sources: State data were calculated from the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (the March supplement) of the Current Population Survey from 2002, 2003, and 2004, representing information from calendar years 2001, 2002, and 2003. NCCP averaged three years of data because of small sample sizes in less populated states. The national data were calculated from the 2004 data, representing information from calendar year 2003. No racial breakdown is given.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent
Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

TANF (FITAP) numbers from Louisiana Department of Social Services web site (www.dss.state.la.us) State Fiscal Year Totals 2003-2004. No racial breakdown is given. Number is average number of children in program during SFY 2003-04 divided by the 2003 state population of children ages 0-19. No racial breakdown is given.

10. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_MedicaidNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006

From Medicaid: Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). Ages 0-18. More than one race reported includes Hispanic or Latin and one or more races AND More than one race (Hispanic or Latino not indicated). Other and Unknown includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND Invalid Race Code AND Unknown.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

From Medicaid: Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). Ages 0-18. More than one race reported includes Hispanic or Latin and one or more races AND More than one race (Hispanic or Latino not indicated). Other and Unknown includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND Invalid Race Code AND Unknown.

12. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total for all races is from the State Department of Social Services, Office of Family Services, and reflects the number of children age 0-20 receiving Food Stamps in State

Fiscal Year 2003-04. A racial breakdown is not available.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is for FFY 2004. The number was derived by adding the number of infants and children that participated in the reporting year, based on the average number of vouchers. This was found to be more accurate versus using unique identifiers. Past counts of unique identifiers included children later found to be inclinible or duplicates.

The racial and ethnic breakdown were derived from the total Infants and children with unique pass ID's that were valid during the reporting period not the actual participation. This was used to pull a percentage of race and apply to the actual participation numbers. The participation numbers are not unique pass ID's, they reflect the actual number of people served (holding WIC benefits).

The data reported for Asian includes Pacific Islanders, as WIC data groups these racial groups as one. Hispanic/Latino recipients are reported in the "Other and Unknown" category for race.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service website (www.ncjrs.org). Office of Juvenile Justice and Deliquency Prevention, (OJJDP) Bulletin, September 2004 , NCJ 204608 -- Juvenile Arrests, 2002. Juvenile arrest rates are calculated by dividing the number of arrests of persons ages 10-17 by the number of persons ages 10-17 in the population. No racial breakdown is available.

15. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

U. S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) ""Local Education Agency Universe Survey Dropout and Completion Data File: School Year 2000-01, " Version 1a; and unpublished data. This table was prepared in October 2003.

The Louisiana Department of Education (www.dow.state.la.us), "2001-2002 School and District Dropout Numbers and Percents by Grade Level" reports an overall dropout rate of 6.6% with breakdown by race not given .

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_Children

Row Name: All children 0 through 19 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is final for 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Sources: State data were calculated from the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (the March supplement) of the Current Population Survey from 2002, 2003, and 2004, representing information from calendar years 2001, 2002, and 2003. NCCP averaged three years of data because of small sample sizes in less populated states. The national data were calculated from the 2004 data, representing information from calendar year 2003. Ethnicity was not reported.

18. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

TANF (FITAP) numbers from Louisiana Department of Social Services web site (www.dss.state.la.us) State Fiscal Year Totals 2003-2004. No racial breakdown is given. Number is average number of children in program during SFY 2003-04 divided by the 2003 state population of children ages 0-19. No ethnicity breakdown is given.

19. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

From Medicaid: Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). Ages 0-18. Hispanic or Latino includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND Hispanic or Latino and one or more races. Ethnicity not reported includes Invalid Race code AND More than one race (Hispanic or Latino not indicated) AND Únknown.

20. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

From Medicaid: Unduplicated Count of Eligibles by Race FFY 2004 (October 2003 - September 2004). Ages 0-18. Total Hispanic or Latino includes Hispanic or Latino (no other race information) AND Hispanic or Latino and one or more races. Ethnicity not reported includes More than one race (Hispanic or Latino not indicated) AND Invalid AND Unknown.

21. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total for all ethnicity not reported is from the State Department of Social Services, Office of Family Services, and reflects the number of children age 0-20 receiving Food Stamps in State Fiscal Year 2003-2004. A ethnicity breakdown is not available.

22. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is for FFY 2004. The number was derived by adding the number of infants and children that participated in the reporting year, based on the average number of vouchers. This was found to be more accurate versus using unique identifiers. Past counts of unique identifiers included children later found to be ineligible or duplicates.

The racial and ethnic breakdown were derived from the total Infants and children with unique pass ID's that were valid during the reporting period not the actual participation. This was used to pull a percentage of race and apply to the actual participation numbers. The participation numbers are not unique pass ID's, they reflect the actual number of people served (holding WIC benefits).

The data reported for Asian includes Pacific Islanders, as WIC data groups these racial groups as one. Hispanic/Latino recipients are reported in the "Other and Unknown" category for race.

23. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service website (www.ncjrs.org). Office of Juvenile Justice and Deliquency Prevention, (OJJDP) Bulletin, September 2004, NCJ 204608 -- Juvenile Arrests, 2002. Juvenile arrest rates are calculated by dividing the number of arrests of persons ages 10-17 by the number of persons ages 10-17 in the population. No racial breakdown is available.

24. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

U. S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) "Local Education Agency Universe Survey Dropout and Completion Data File: School Year 2000-01," Version 1a; and unpublished data. This table was prepared in October 2003. This was the only breakout by ethnicity given.

The Louisiana Department of Education (www.dow.state.la.us), "2001-2002 School and District Dropout Numbers and Percents by Grade Level" reports an overall dropout rate of 6.6% with breakdown by ethnicity not given .

25. Section Number: Indicator 10 **Field Name:** Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Used U.S. Census report, GCT-P15 Selected Age Groups: 2000, to determine % in type of geographic area with estimated 2004 population. GCT-P15 is for ages 0-17. Estimated population is from Census Table 1-RES: Estimates of the Resident Population by Selected Age Groups for the United States and States and for Puerto Rico: July 1, 2004.

26. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Used U.S. Census report, GCT-P15 Selected Age Groups: 2000, to determine % in type of geographic area with estimated 2004 population. GCT-P15 is for ages 0-17. Estimated population is from Census Table 1-RES: Estimates of the Resident Population by Selected Age Groups for the United States and States and for Puerto Rico: July 1, 2004.

27. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Used U.S. Census report, GCT-P15 Selected Age Groups: 2000, to determine % in type of geographic area with estimated 2004 population. GCT-P15 is for ages 0-17. Estimated population is from Census Table 1-RES: Estimates of the Resident Population by Selected Age Groups for the United States and States and for Puerto Rico: July

28. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Frontier

Row Name: Living in frontier areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Census does not give data for a definition of "frontier." Frontier was calculated by subtracting Urban and Rural populations from the estimated state population.

29. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_total Row Name: Total Population

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for Counties of Louisiana: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2004 (CO-EST2004-01-22), Source: Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau. Release Date: April 14, 2005

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

From U.S. Census Bureau, QT-P34: Poverty Status in 1999 of Individuals: 2000. 408,125 people were reported at 50% of poverty level out of 4,334,094 people for whom poverty level is determined.

31. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual and Social Economic Supplement, POV-46: Poverty Status by State: 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11_200percent
 Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual and Social Economic Supplement, POV-46: Poverty Status by State: 2003.

33. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Final 2003 data.

Section Number: Indicator 12
 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Annie E. Casey Foundation, Kids Count website (www.aecf.org), Population Reference Bureau, analysis of data from U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census Summary File 3 (Table PCT50). This analysis is for children under 18 years of age.

35. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual and Social Economic Supplement, POV-46: Poverty Status by State: 2003, for people under 18 years of age.

36. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual and Social Economic Supplement, POV-46: Poverty Status by State: 2003, for people under 18 years of age.

 Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Louisiana Department of Social Services, as of December 31, 2004, for ages 0-18. DSS Contact: Celeste Skinner. Racial breakdown not available.

38. Section Number: Indicator 09B **Field Name:** HSIEthnicity_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Louisiana Department of Social Services, as of December 31, 2004, for ages 0-18. DSS Contact: Celeste Skinner. No ethnic breakdown is given.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: LA

SP#

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of all children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health

center services.

GOAL To offer access to comprehensive preventive and primary health services, including mental health services, to children

and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana.

DEFINITION Number of children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health

center services / Number of children and adolescents enrolled in Louisiana public schools

Number of children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health

center services

Denominator: Number of children and adolescents enrolled in Louisiana public schools

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 21.2 Receipt of recommended services

Increase to at least 50% the proportion of adolescents, and to at least 80% the proportion of children age 2-12, who have

received, as a minimum within the appropriate interval, all of the screening and immunization services and at least one of

the counseling services appropriate for their age.

Adolescent School Health Initiative Annual Services Report. Louisiana Department of Education. Increasing the percent of **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

all children and adolescents enrolled in public schools in Louisiana that have access to school-based health center

services will depend on substantial financial support for school-based health centers.

According to the 1996 Annie Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Book, Louisiana ranks last in the nation for the manner in SIGNIFICANCE which it provides health and quality care for its children. School-based health centers provide convenient access to

primary and preventive care for children and adolescents who might otherwise have limited or no access to health care.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

DEFINITION

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of women in need of family planning services who have received such services.

GOAL To increase the supported clir

To increase the percent of women in need of family planning services who have received such services through publicly supported clinics.

The number of women in need of family planning services who have received such services from a publicly supported clinic in a calendar year. The number of women in need of family planning services in a calendar year.

Numerator

The number of women in need of family planning services who have received such services from a publicly supported clinic

in a calendar year.

Denominator:

The number of women in need of family planning services in a calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

5.2 Unintended pregnancy

Reduce to no more than 30% the proportion of all pregnancies that are unintended.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Family planning program data. The Alan Guttmacher Institute report on Contraceptive Needs and Services estimates the need for publicly funded services for women between the ages of 13 and 44 years who are sexually active, and are neither

intentionally pregnant nor trying to become pregnant.

SIGNIFICANCE

In the United States, only half of pregnancies are planned at the time of conception. For some of these unplanned pregnancies, the women wanted to get pregnant later; for others, the women wanted no more children at all. About half of unplanned pregnancies are also associated with more problems for the mother and infant than planned pregnancies. Women with unplanned pregnancies cannot take advantage of preconception care, a special health care visit that helps women achieve the healthiest possible pregnancy outcome. Planning to become pregnant at a time when a woman is healthy and ready to become a parent can maximize the health and opportunities for women, children, and families, and can

reduce abortions.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of children (per 1,000) under 18 who have been abused or neglected.

GOAL To prevent child abuse and neglect.

DEFINITION # of validated cases of child abuse and neglect to children under age 18 in Louisiana / Total population under age 18 in

Louisiana

Numerator:

Number of validated cases of child abuse and neglect to children under age 18 in Louisiana

Denominator:

Total population under 18 in Louisiana

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7.4 Child abuse and neglect

Reverse to less that 25.2 per 1000 children the rising incidence of maltreatment of children younger than age 18. (Baseline

25.2 per 1000 in 1986)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Numerator is from State of Louisiana, Department of Social Services, Office of Community Services. Valid cases, as defined

by OCS, mean that a review of the facts showed a reason to believe abuse had taken place. Denominator is from U.S. Bureau of the Census population estimates. The number of reported cases of child abuse and neglect is much higher than the validated cases. For instance, for fiscal year 1996 the numbers were 45,981 reported cases vs. 14,863 validated cases. It is difficult to project five year goals for this measure, since as awareness of child abuse and neglect is heightened,

numbers of reported and validated cases may actually increase.

SIGNIFICANCE Children who witness or are victims of violence at home display emotional and behavioral disturbance as diverse as

withdrawal, low self-esteem, nightmares, self-blame, and aggression against peers, family members and/or property. A comparison of delinquent and nondelinquent youth found that a history of family violence or abuse is the most significant

difference between the two groups.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CSHS patients with case management (follow-up visits) from a nurse, social worker, or nutritionist.

Goal To ensure that a coordinated continuum of appropriate services exist for the care of children with potential or actual chronic

and disabling conditions and their families.

DEFINITIONUnduplicated count of CSHS patients with a follow-up visit / Unduplicated count of CSHS patients

Numerator:

Unduplicated count of CSHS patients with a follow-up visit

Denominator:

Unduplicated count of CSHS patients

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 17.2 Disability due to chronic conditions

Reduce to no more than 8% the proportion of people who experience a limitation in major activity due to chronic conditions.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

A new Ph-9 form collecting more accurate information on follow-up visits for CSHS patients has just been implemented in

three regions, and all regions will eventually adopt the new form. In five years, it is expected that 60% of CSHS patients will have received case management services, since Louisiana's CSHS program is placing increasing emphasis on a more holistic approach. The program envisions increased case management for its children, and a shift away from simple direct

medical intervention.

SIGNIFICANCE

The establishment of systems of services that reflect the principles of comprehensive, community-based, coordinated, family-centered care are essential for effectively fostering and facilitating activities to (1) reverse of slow the progress of

chronic and disabling conditions among children; (2) minimize the complications and impact of chronic disabling conditions among children; (3) strengthen the ability of families to care for children with actual or potential chronic and disabling conditions; and (4) enable children with more serious conditions to remain in the home and community-based living

arrangements, rather than in institutional settings.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children (2 - 5 years old) on WIC greater than or equal to the 95th percentile for BMI-for-age.

GOAL To reduce the prevalence of overweight children living below 185% of poverty.

DEFINITIONThe number of cases of children (2-5 years old) greater than or equal to the 95th percentile BMI-for-age on the

Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)/ The total number of cases of children (2 - 5 years

old) on the Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)

Numerator:

The number of cases of children (2-5 years old) greater than or equal to the 95th percentile weight for BMI-for-age on the

Supplementary Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

Denominator:

The total number of cases of children (2 - 5 years old) on the Supplementary Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and

Children

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 2.3 Overweight

Reduce overweight to a prevalence of no more than 20% among people aged 20 and older and no more than 15% among

adolescents aged 12 through 19.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (PEDNSS). NHANES III indicates that

nationally there has been a consistent rise (from 1971 to 1991) in the prevalence of overweight children, similar to the rise in Louisiana from 1995 to 1997. Currently, the national average is 8%. Our goal is to stop the rise in Louisiana, and bring it

down to today's national average.

SIGNIFICANCE Obesity is the most common nutritional disorder in the United States; the number of overweight children in the U.S. has

more than doubled in the last 10 years. Children who are overweight can have higher blood pressure and blood cholesterol levels, particularly in children genetically prone to these conditions. The greatest risk for overweight children is that they will remain overweight into adulthood. Obese children who become obese adults are at greater risk, at a younger age, for developing heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, gallbladder disease, arthritis, and certain

cancers.

DEFINITION

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants receiving WIC services through Public Health Units, aged 0-1, screened by the Louisiana Risk

Assessment (LRA)-Infant version.

GOAL

To provide a screening system to detect infants and their families at risk for poor social/emotional outcomes, refer for prevention and early intervention services and to provide data to document the need for services to address this problem.

Percent of infants receiving WIC services through Public Health Units, who are screened by the Louisiana Risk

Assessment-Infant version.

Numerator:

Number of infants receiving WIC services through Public Health Units, who are screened by the Louisiana Risk

Assessment-Infant version.

Denominator:

Average number of infants per calendar year participating in WIC through Public Health Units in Louisiana.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 1

(Developmental) Increase the number of persons seen in primary health care who receive mental health screening and

assessment.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Numerator is the number of Louisiana Risk Assessment-Infant version screens performed at WIC infant certifications

through Public Health Units in Louisiana during the calendar year. Denominator is from the annual WIC participation data of infants as computed by average monthly participation in Public Health Unit WIC clinics in Louisiana during the calendar

year.

SIGNIFICANCE Infants identified as having more than one well-established risk factor for poor social-emotional outcomes, are more likely to demonstrate significant behavior problems with peers, trouble with academic performance, teen-age parenthood, and higher

referral for appropriate services, will promote greater awareness of problems such as maternal depression and the effects of

domestic violence on children, and opportunities for preventive or intervention services for such infants and families.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Page 99 of 104

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who use substances (alcohol and tobacco) during pregnancy.

GOAL To reduce substance use during pregnancy.

DEFINITION # of women surveyed who report they have used cigarettes and / or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent

pregnancy / # of women surveyed

Numerator:

of women surveyed who report they have used cigarettes and / or alcohol during the last 3 months of their most recent

pregnancy

Denominator:

of women surveyed
Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 14.10 Alcohol, tobacco, and drug use during pregnancy

Increase abstinence from tobacco use by pregnant women to at least 90%, increase abstinence from alcohol by pregnant

women to at least 90%, and increase abstinence from cocaine and marijuana by pregnant women to at least 90%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Initially, the data was taken from birth certificate data, which resulted in a low estimate due to the known limitations of birth certificate data. Data from LaPRAMS, Louisiana's Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System, is now used and is a

more accurate data source for this measure.

SIGNIFICANCE Substance use during pregnancy contributes significantly to the number of low birth weight babies and the infant mortality

and morbidity rates in Louisiana.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.

To decrease the rate of infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death. GOAL

DEFINITION Number of infant deaths due to SIDS in a calendar year/ Number of live births

Number of infant deaths due to SIDS in a calendar year

Denominator: Number of live births Units: Text: 0

16-1: Reduce Fetal and Infant Deaths **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES SIDS Program Data(numerator), Vital Statistics Mortality Data (denominator)

SIDS is the number one cause of unexpected infant death. SIDS is the most common cause of death in the post-neonatal period. The U.S. SIDS rate has declined almost 50% since the initiation of the "Back to Sleep Campaign" in 1994. However, there is still significant racial disparity. Rates are much higher in lower social economic status (SES) groups across all SIGNIFICANCE

populations.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of state fetal and infant deaths reviewed by a Feto-Infant Mortality Review (FIMR).

GOAL

To monitor and evaluate the progress of the Louisiana FIMR Network by measuring the percent of fetal and infant deaths to Louisiana residents, that are reviewed by these programs. The goal is to have FIMR case reviews for 50% of the fetal and infant deaths in Louisiana within the next five years (2010).

DEFINITION

The percent of fetal and infant deaths with a birth weight greater than 500gms and/or gestational age larger than 24 weeks,

born to Louisiana residents that are reviewed by a Louisiana FIMR program

The number of fetal and infant deaths with a birth weight greater than 500gms and/or gestational age greater than 24 weeks, born to Louisiana residents and reviewed by a Louisiana FIMR program in a calendar year.

The total number of fetal and infant deaths with a birth weight greater than 500gms and/or gestational age greater than 24

weeks, born to Louisiana residents in the same calendar year, as determined by Louisiana Vital Records.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-1: Reduce Fetal and Infant Deaths

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Each of the 9 regions in Louisiana has a FIMR program whose coordinator is responsible for reporting the number of cases reviewed at each meeting. Vital Records data, the denominator for this measure, is not typically available until the following summer after each calendar year. Therefore, calculation of this measure will use the latest available birth data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Through this process of case identification, data abstraction, and case review, FIMR programs will assure further enhanced understanding of fetal and infant deaths in Louisiana. Quantitatively monitoring a qualitative program helps to bring together data and program specialists for improved outcomes.

|2006||2007||2008||2009||2010

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of licensed day care centers with a health consultant contact.

Goal To improve the health and safety of child care settings by providing support, guidance, and technical assistance to local

child care providers, social service agencies, and families.

DEFINITIONThe number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana with a health consultant contact / The number of licensed day care

centers in Louisiana

Numerator:

The number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana with a health consultant contact

Denominator:

The number of licensed day care centers in Louisiana

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 20.8 Diarrhea among children in child care centers

Reduce infectious diarrhea by at least 25% among children in licensed child care centers.

10.14 Service and protection

Establish in 50 states either public or labor department programs that provide consultation and assistance to small

businesses to implement safety and health programs for their employees.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

This program has just begun -- health consultants have been trained and Resource and Referral sites have been actablished statewide Pate for this program was initially at least form a New park of 1000 months at 1000

established statewide. Data for this measure was initially taken from a November, 1996, needs assessment of LA Child

Care Centers. Data is now collected from communications with the health consultants themselves.

SIGNIFICANCE Basic concepts of health and safety need to be a part of training for all child care providers. Children cared for in group

settings are more likely to be exposed to communicable diseases and may be exposed to more risk for injury. These children must depend on the caregiver for proper nutrition and food served in a safe and sanitary manner. National Health and Safety Performance Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs, developed by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Public Health Association, offers standards for the major health and safety issues

in child care. Recommendation 55: We recommend that each community should have access to an identified child health

consultant who can provide consultations and technical assistance on health issues to facilities.